arXiv:1604.00464v2 [math.KT] 24 Jun 2016

On the Strong Novikov Conjecture of Locally Compact Groups for Low Degree Cohomology Classes

Yoshiyasu Fukumoto *

Kyoto University

Abstract

The main result of this paper is non-vanishing of the image of the index map from the G-equivariant K-homology of a proper G-compact G-manifold X to the K-theory of the C^*-algebra of the group G. Under the assumption that the Kronecker pairing of a K-homology class with a low-dimensional cohomology class is non-zero, we prove that the image of this class under the index map is non-zero. Neither discreteness of the locally compact group G nor freeness of the action of G on X are required. The case of free actions of discrete groups was considered earlier by B. Hanke and T. Schick.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 19K35, 19K56, 46L80, 57R20, 58A12
Keywords. Strong Novikov conjecture, Gromov-Lawson-Rosenburg conjecture, almost flat bundles

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **4**
2.1 Proper Actions 4
2.2 Universal Example for Proper Actions 7

3 Preparation for Description of the Index Maps **7**
3.1 Hermitian G-Vector Bundles, Connections, and Characteristic Classes 7
3.2 Properly Supported G-Invariant Elliptic Operators 12

4 Index Maps **18**
4.1 The Analytic Index Map 18
4.2 The Topological Index Map 18
4.3 Reduction to the Dirac Operators 20
4.4 Expression of $\mu_G([A])$ 25
4.5 Kronecker Pairing and its Formula 26

5 Construction of Almost Flat Bundles and their Indices **27**
5.1 Central Extension 27
5.2 Almost Flat G_α-Line Bundles 29
5.3 Indices of Almost Flat Bundles 30
5.4 The Index Map μ_{G_α} 31

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, JAPAN
fukumoto@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

6 Construction of the Infinite Product Bundle and its Index **33**
6.1 Assembling Almost Flat Bundles 34
6.2 Index of the Product Bundle 38

7 Proof of the Main Theorem **42**

1 Introduction

The main result of this paper is the non-vanishing of the image of the index map, assuming that the non-vanishing of the pairing with low dimensional cohomology. In this paper, actions of a locally compact group G on X will be assumed proper and co-compact, but neither discreteness of G nor the freeness of the action will be needed. First of all, let us recall the Strong Novikov Conjecture and a result in the case of discrete groups.

Conjecture 1.1 (Strong Novikov Conjecture) *Let Γ be a discrete group. The Baum-Connes assembly map $\mu\colon K_0(B\Gamma) \to K_0(C^*_{\mathrm{Max}}(\Gamma))$ is injective after tensoring $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Theorem 1.2 [HS08, Theorem 1.2] *Let Γ be a discrete group. If a K-homology class $h \in K_0(B\Gamma)$ satisfies the following condition; there exists a cohomology class $c \in H^*(B\Gamma;\mathbb{Q})$ generated by classes of degree at most 2 such that $\langle c, \mathrm{ch}(h)\rangle \neq 0$. Then $\mu(h) \neq 0$.*

Next, the statement of Baum-Connes conjecture for the proper actions is the following;

Conjecture 1.3 [BCH, (3.15)] *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff second countable group and let $\mathcal{E}G$ be the universal example for proper actions of G defined in definition 2.9. Then*

$$\mu\colon \mathcal{R}K_0^G(\mathcal{E}G) \to K_0(C^*(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

This conjecture is true for such groups as, for instance, hyperbolic groups and Lie groups with finitely many connected components.

In this paper, few such assumptions on groups will be required. For example, we allow the semi-direct product of a compact group with a countable discrete group. Instead, we will set some assumptions on the domain of the Baum Connes map like [Ma03] and [HS08], and we focus on the rational injectivity of this map.

Now let us fix our settings. Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold with $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff unimodular group which act on X properly and isometrically. Assume that X is G-compact.

Let $\mu_G\colon KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C}) \to K_0(C^*(G))$ be the index map, and $E = L^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus L^N$ be a finite direct sum of smooth Hermitian G-line bundles each of which has vanishing first Chern class; $c_1(L^k) = 0 \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem A *Let A be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0. If $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C})$ satisfies that the Kronecker pairing $\langle [E],[A]\rangle_G$ is not equal to $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for some E, then $\mu_G([A]) \neq 0 \in K_0(C^*(G))$.*

The Kronecker pairing $\langle [E],[A]\rangle_G$ will be defined later in the definition 4.19. Instead of taking the paring on the level of cohomology $H^*(X)$ and homology $H_*(X)$ as in [Ma03] and [HS08], we will consider it on the level of equivariant K-theory. In the case of free actions of discrete groups, they coincide.

Remarks 1.4 (1) In this paper $C^*(G)$ is either reduced or maximal C^*-algebra. So even if G is discrete, theorem A is not covered by [HS08, Theorem 1.2] since in [HS08], only the maximal group C^*-algebras are considered to use the universal property of them.

(2) Tha assumption that $c_1(L) = 0 \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ implies that such line bundle L over X is topologically trivial, however, remark that it does not follow that L is trivial as a G-line bundle.

One of the classical examples is as follows; let us consider the case of free action of a discrete group, namely, let M be a closed Riemannian manifold, G be its fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, and X be its universal cover $\widetilde{M}$. The projection will be denoted by $\pi\colon X \to M$. Moreover, let $f\colon M \to BG$ be the classifying map, and consider a line bundle f^*L_0 obtained by the pull back by f of any Hermitian line bundle L_0 over BG. Then, let L be its lift $\pi^*f^*L_0$. Since f is lifted to $\widetilde{f}\colon X \to EG$ and EG is contractible, we obtain $c_1(L) = 0$.

Similar argument also works in the case of proper actions. Let G be a locally compact group acting properly on a complete Riemannian manifold X. Let $\mathcal{E}G$ be the universal example of proper action of G, $f\colon X \to \mathcal{E}G$ be a G equivariant map, and L_0 be any Hermitian G-line bundle over $\mathcal{E}G$. Then, the pull back $L := f^*L_0$ is a Hermitian G-line bundle over X, whose first Chern class vanishes since $\mathcal{E}G$ is contractible as a topological space.

Remark 1.5 In [HS08], the problem on $B\Gamma$ is reduced to the case of manifolds, using the following lemma;

Lemma 1.6 [BHS, Theorem 6.2] *For any $h \in K_0(B\Gamma)$, there exists a geometric K-cycle (M, E, ϕ) realizing h. Precisely there exists (M, E, ϕ), where M is a spin^c-manifold, E is a smooth Hermitian vector bundle, and $\phi\colon M \to B\Gamma$ is a continuous map, such that*

$$\phi_*\left([E]\widehat{\otimes}[M]\right) = h.$$

Unfortunately we do not have the corresponding result for G-equivariant K-homology of proper G-spaces, so the general case of proper G-topological space including $\mathcal{E}G$ could not be reduced to the case of G-manifolds.

As a corollary of Theorem A, we obtain the following Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture for low dimensional cohomology classes.

Corollary B *Let X and G be as above and additionally we assume X is a spin manifold and the scalar curvature of X is positive. Then for any Hermitian G-vectorbundle E satisfying the conditions above, the following higher G-$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus vanishes.*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_G(X;E) := \int_X c(x)\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(TX) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E) = 0,$$

where $c \in C_c(X)$ denotes an arbitrary cut-off function.

The higher G-$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus is defined later in Definition 4.22 using the L^2-index formula; Theorem 4.21 [Wa, Proposition 6.11]. In the case of a free action a descrete group Γ, our higher G-$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus of X coincides with the higher $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus of X/Γ by Γ-index theorem. This gives a generalization of [Ma99, Corollary 1] to non-discrete groups.

Remark that the following Novikov conjecture for low dimensional cohomology classes does not follow unless the acting group G is discrete and the action on X is free. This is because we do not know, unfortunately, the homotopy equivalence of the $(C^*(G))$-index of the signature operator, $\mu_G([\mathrm{d}^X + \delta^X]) \in K_0(C^*(G))$ in general cases.

Conjecture 1.7 *Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let X be a G-compact proper complete G-Riemannian manifold. Let X' be another complete Riemannian G-compact proper G-manifold and assume that there exists a G-equivariant orientation preserving smooth homotopy equivalence $h\colon X' \to X$. Let $f\colon X \to \mathcal{E}G$ be a G-equivariant map. Then for any smooth Hermitian G-vector bundle E over X given by $L = f^*E_0$ for some G-vector bundle E_0 over $\mathcal{E}G$, the higher signature for E_0 is homotopy invariant, that is,*

$$\int_X c(x)\mathcal{L}(X) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E) = \int_{X'} c'(x')\mathcal{L}(X') \wedge \mathrm{ch}(h^*E),$$

where $c \in C_c(X)$ denotes an arbitrary cut-off function and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the L-class of X.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Proper Actions

Definition 2.1 Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold.

(1) X is called a G-Riemannian manifold if G acts on X isometrically.

(2) The action of G on X is said to be proper or X is called a proper G-space if the following continuous map is proper;

$$G \times X \to X \times X, \quad (\gamma, x) \mapsto (\gamma x, x).$$

(3) The action of G on X is said to be co-compact or X is called G-compact space if the quotient space X/G is compact.

Definition 2.2 A compactly supported smooth function $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ is called a cut-off function if $c \geq 0$ is non-negative everwhere and it satisfies

$$\int_G c(\gamma^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}\gamma = 1$$

for any $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.3 *Any G-compact manifold with proper action admits a cut-off function.*

Proof. Let $c' \in C_c^\infty(X)$ be an arbitrary non-negative function whose support intersects every orbit, namely, $\mathrm{supp}(c') \cap G(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$. There actually exists such a function because X is G-compact. Then consider the function c by normalizing c' on each orbit;

$$c(x) := \frac{c'(x)}{\int_G c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}\gamma}.$$

This c provides a cut-off function.
Note that since the action of G is proper, $\left\{\gamma \in G \,\middle|\, \gamma^{-1}x \in \mathrm{supp}(c')\right\} \subset G$ is compact and hence the value $\int_G c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}\gamma$ is always finite for any $x \in X$. □

Definition 2.4 The action of G on X induces actions on TX and T^*X given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma\colon T_xX & \to & T_{\gamma x} \\ v & \mapsto & \gamma(v) := \gamma_* v \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{rcl} \gamma\colon T_x^*X & \to & T_{\gamma x}^* \\ \xi & \mapsto & \gamma(\xi) := (\gamma^{-1})^*\xi. \end{array}$$

The action on $\mathfrak{X}(X)$ and $\Omega^*(X)$ is given by

$$\gamma[V] := \gamma_* V \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma[\omega] := (\gamma^{-1})^*\omega$$

for $\gamma \in G$, $V \in \mathfrak{X}(X)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^*(X)$. Obviously, $\gamma[\omega \wedge \eta] = \gamma[\omega] \wedge \gamma[\eta]$ and $\mathrm{d}(\gamma[\omega]) = \gamma[\mathrm{d}\omega]$.

Lemma 2.5 *Let $\omega \in \Omega^n(X)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^{n-1}(X)$ be G-invariant differential forms, where $n = \dim(X)$ and $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ be a cut-off function. Then,*

(1) $\int_X c(x)\omega$ does not depend on the choice of a cut-off function.

(2) $\int_X c(x)\mathrm{d}\eta = 0$.

Proof. Firstly, note that for any $\gamma \in G$, $\gamma\colon X \to X$ is a diffeomorphism, so

$$\int_X \zeta = \int_X \gamma[\zeta]$$

holds for any compactly supported differential form $\zeta \in \Omega_c^n(X)$.

Let $c' \in C_c^\infty(X)$ be another cut-off function and set $a := \int_X c(x)\omega - \int_X c'(x)\omega$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \int_X \gamma[c(x)\omega] - \int_X \gamma[c'(x)\omega] \\ &= \int_X c(\gamma^{-1}x)\gamma[\omega] - \int_X c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\gamma[\omega] \\ &= \int_X c(\gamma^{-1}x)\omega - \int_X c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\omega \\ &= \int_X \left(c(\gamma^{-1}x) - c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\right)\omega\,, \\ \text{hence,}\quad \int_G a\,\mathrm{d}\gamma &= \int_X \left(\int_G c(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma - \int_G c'(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma\right)\omega \\ &= \int_X (1-1)\,\omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a = 0$. As for the second part, set $b := \int_X c(x)\mathrm{d}\eta$. Then,

$$b = -\int_X \mathrm{d}c(x) \wedge \eta = -\int_X \gamma\left[\mathrm{d}c(x) \wedge \eta\right] = -\int_X \mathrm{d}c(\gamma^{-1}x) \wedge \gamma[\eta] = -\int_X \mathrm{d}c(\gamma^{-1}x) \wedge \eta\,,$$

$$\text{hence,}\quad -\int_G b\,\mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_X \mathrm{d}\left(\int_G c(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma\right) \wedge \eta = \int_X \mathrm{d}(1) \wedge \eta = 0.$$

Therefore $b = 0$. □

Remark 2.6 In the case when $G = \Gamma$ is a discrete group acting on X freely, if $\omega \in \Omega^n(X)$ is a Γ-invariant form on X, then the value $\int_X c(x)\omega$ is equal to $\int_F c(x)\omega$ for an arbitrary fundamental domain $F \subset X$. Therefore if $\omega' \in \Omega(X/\Gamma)$ is a differential form covered by the Γ-invariant form ω, we have $\int_X c(x)\omega = \int_{X/\Gamma} \omega'$.

Proposition 2.7 (Slice theorem) *Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group and act properly and isometrically on X. Then for any neighborhood O of any point $x \in X$ there exists a compact subgroup $K \subset G$ including the stabilizer at x, $K \supset G_x := \{\gamma \in G | \gamma x = x\}$ and there exists a K-slice $\{x\} \subset S \subset O$.*

Here $S \subset X$ is called K-slice if the followings are satisfied;

- S is K-invariant; $K(S) = S$,
- the tubular subset $G(S) \subset X$ is open,
- there exists a G-equivariant map $\psi\colon G(S) \to G/K$ satisfying $\psi^{-1}([e]) = S$, called a slice map.

We will give a proof later only in the case when G is a Lie group, in which case K can be taken as G_x itself. The proof in the general case is found in [Ab, Theorem 3.3.] and [An, Theorem 3.6.].

Corollary 2.8 *We additionally assume that X is G-compact. Then for any open covering $X = \bigcup_{x\in X} O_x$, there exists a sub-family of finitely many open subsets $\{O_{x_i}, \dots O_{x_N}\}$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{\gamma\in G}\bigcup_{i=1}^{N}\gamma(O_{x_i}) = X.$$

In particular, X is of bounded geometry, namely, the injective radius is bounded below and the norm of Riemannian curvature is bounded.

Proof. Due to the slice theorem we have compact subgroups K_x including the stabilizers G_x and K_x-slice $\{x\} \subset S_x \subset O_x$. Since X/G is compact, and $\{[G(S_x)]\}_{x\in X}$ is an open covering of X/G, one can take finite sub-cover $X/G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N}[G(S_{x_i})]$ and hence obtain a finite G-invariant open cover $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} G(S_{x_i})$. On the other hand, obviously $G(O_{x_i}) \supset G(S_{x_i})$ so it follows that $X = \bigcup_{\gamma\in G}\bigcup_{i=1}^{N}\gamma(O_{x_i})$.

In order to prove the bounded geometry of X, take an arbitrary relatively compact neighborhood $\{x\} \subset O_x \subset X$ for each $x \in X$ and find $\{O_{x_i}, \dots O_{x_N}\}$ as above. Noting that each of $\gamma(O_{x_i})$ is isometric to O_{x_i} for every $\gamma \in G$, the lower bound of injective radius and the norm of Riemannian curvature on whole X is determined only on $O_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{x_N}$, which is relatively compact. Therefore they are bounded. □

Proof of the Proposition 2.7. Let G be a Lie group. Set $K = G_x$. Due to the properness of the action, G_x is a compact sub-group. Besides every orbit set $G\{x\} \subset X$ is a closed subset of X since the orbit map $G \to X;\ \gamma \mapsto \gamma x$ is proper. At the same time, $G/G_x \to X;\quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma x$ is an injective immersion. In particular, the orbit set $G\{x\} \subset X$ is a sub-manifold in X differomorphic to G/G_x.

Let $N(G\{x\})$ denote the normal bundle of $G\{x\} \subset X$, namely $N_y(G\{x\})$ is the orthogonal complement of $T_y(G\{x\})$ in T_yX. Since the action of G on X is isometrically, the action on $N(G\{x\})$ preserves the metric of the fibers.

Let $N^r_x G\{x\} := \{v \in N(G\{x\}) \mid \|v\| < r\}$ for positive $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Choose a small $\delta > 0$ so that the following restricted exponential map

$$\exp_x \colon N^{4\delta}_x(G\{x\}) \to X$$

is diffeomorphism onto its image and the image does not intersect with $G\{x\}$ at any other points than $x \in G\{x\}$. Then

$$\exp \colon N^{\delta}(G\{x\}) \to X;\quad (y, v) \mapsto \exp_y(v)$$

is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Now let $S := \exp_x(N^{\delta}_x G\{x\})$, with δ replaced by a smaller value so that S is contained in O if needed. This S is obviously G_x-invariant. Moreover the tubular subset $G(S)$ is equal to the image of the exponential map

$$G(S) = \bigcup_{y\in G\{x\}} \exp_y(N^{\delta}_y G\{x\}) = \exp(N^{\delta}(G\{x\}))$$

which is diffeomorphic to a disk bundle $N^{\delta}(G\{x\})$ and hence open. One can take a slicing map $\psi \colon G(S) \to G/K$ as follows;

$$\begin{array}{rccccc} \psi \colon G(S) = & \exp(N^{\delta}(G\{x\})) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\exp^{-1}} & N^{\delta}(G\{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} & G\{x\} \cong\ G/G_x \\ & \exp_y(v) & \longmapsto & (y, v) & \longmapsto & y. \end{array}$$

The inverse image ψ^{-1} is actually equal to $\exp(N^{\delta}_x(G\{x\})) = S$. □

2.2 Universal Example for Proper Actions

We refer to [BCH, Section 1.].

Definition 2.9 (Universal example for proper actions) Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. A universal example for proper actions of G, denoted by $\mathcal{E}G$, is a proper G-space satisfying the following property; If X is any proper Gspace, then there exists a G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to \mathcal{E}G$, and any such maps are G-homotopic, that is, homotopic through G-equivariant maps.

Lemma 2.10

(1) There exists a universal example for proper actions of G, and it is unique up to G-homotopy.

(2) $\mathcal{E}G$ is contractive as a topological space.

Outline of Proof. The construction of $\mathcal{E}G$ is as follows; let

$$W := \bigsqcup_{\substack{H\subset G \\ \text{compact subgroup}}} G/H$$

be the disjoint union of the homogeneous spaces G/H, over all compact subgroups of G. Then take the join with itself infinitely many times;

$$\mathcal{E}G = W * W * \dots.$$

From this construction it follows that $\mathcal{E}G$ is contractive.

Moreover if we have two, $\mathcal{E}G$ and $(\mathcal{E}G)'$, then we have G-maps $f\colon (\mathcal{E}G)' \to \mathcal{E}G$ and $f'\colon \mathcal{E}G \to (\mathcal{E}G)'$, each of which is unique up to G-homotopy. Moreover $f \circ f'$ and $f' \circ f\,\mathrm{id}_{(\mathcal{E}G)'}$ are G-homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}G}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{(\mathcal{E}G)'}$ respectively.

3 Preparation for Description of the Index Maps

3.1 Hermitian G-Vector Bundles, Connections, and Characteristic Classes

Definition 3.1 (Hermitian G-vector bundles, G-invariant connections)
Let X be a proper G-Riemannian manifold.

(1) A smooth G-vector bundle E is a smooth vector bundle over X on which G smoothly acts and the action is compatibility with the the action on the base space X, and the action induced on fibers are linear isomorphisms, that is,

$$\pi(\gamma\sigma) = \gamma\pi(\sigma)$$

holds for any $\sigma \in E$ and $\gamma \in G$, where $\pi\colon E \to X$ denotes the projection, and $\gamma\colon E_x \to E_{\gamma x}$ is a linear isomorphism. If $E = E^0\hat{\otimes}E^1$ is graded, the action of G is assumed to preserve the gradings.

(2) Let $C^\infty(E)$ denote the space consisting of all smooth sections $s\colon X \to E$. G acts on $C^\infty(E)$ by the formula;

$$\gamma[s](x) = \gamma(s(\gamma^{-1}x)).$$

(3) A G-vector bundle is called Hermitian G-vector bundle if E is equipped with a G-invariant smooth Hermitian metric $h(\cdot,\cdot) = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{E_x}$, that is, $\langle\gamma\sigma_1,\gamma\sigma_2\rangle_{E_{\gamma x}} = \langle\sigma_1,\sigma_2\rangle_{E_x}$ holds for any $\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in E_x$ and $\gamma \in G$.

(4) A connection ∇ on a Hermitian G-vector bundle is called a G-invariant connection if ∇ is compatible with the Hermitian metric and commutes with the action of G, that is,

$$\mathrm{d}\langle s(x), t(x)\rangle = \langle \nabla s(x), t(x)\rangle + \langle s(x), \nabla t(x)\rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma[\nabla s] = \nabla(\gamma[s])$$

hold for any $s, t \in C^\infty(E)$ and $\gamma \in G$.

Definition 3.2 A Hermitian G-vector bundle E over X defines the following element $[E]$ in KK-theory;

$$[E] = (C_0(E), 0) \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(X))$$

with the action of $C_0(X)$ on $C_0(E)$ being the point-wise multiplication.

Here, $C_0(X)$ denotes the C^*-algebra consisting of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous functions on X vanishing at infinity equipped with the sup-norm, and $C_0(E)$ denotes the Hilbert $C_0(X)$ module consisting of all continuous sections of E vanishing at infinity equipped with the scalar product given by the point wise Hermitian metric.

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let X be a G-compact proper complete G-Riemannian manifold.*

(1) Any smooth G-vector bundle E over X admits a smooth G-invariant Hermitian metric.

(2) Any Hermitian G-vector bundle E over X admits a G-invariant connection.

Proof. Choose any cut-off function $c \in C_c(X)$. The only we have to do is to take the average using c. For the first part, fix any smooth Hermitian metric h on E which is not necessarily G-invariant. Then set

$$\tilde{h}_{E_x}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) := \int_G c(g^{-1}x) h_{E_{g^{-1}x}}\left(g^{-1}\sigma_1, g^{-1}\sigma_2\right) \mathrm{d}g \qquad \text{for} \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in E_x.$$

Note that for any fixed $x \in X$, $\mathrm{supp}\{c(\gamma^{-1}x)\} \subset G$ is compact due to the properness of the action so that the integral above makes sense. $\tilde{h}$ is a desired metric. In fact for any fixed $\gamma \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_{E_{\gamma x}}(\gamma\sigma_1, \gamma\sigma_2) &= \int_G c(g^{-1}\gamma x) h_{E_{g^{-1}\gamma x}}\left(g^{-1}\gamma\sigma_1, g^{-1}\gamma\sigma_2\right) \mathrm{d}g \\
&= \int_G c(g^{-1}\gamma x) h_{E_{g^{-1}\gamma x}}\left(g^{-1}\gamma\sigma_1, g^{-1}\gamma\sigma_2\right) \mathrm{d}(\gamma^{-1}g) \\
&= \tilde{h}_{E_x}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

It is follows from $c \geq 0$ that $\tilde{h}$ is positive definite.

As for the second part, let E be a Hermitian G-vector bundle over X and fix a connection compatible with the Hermitian metric $\nabla\colon C^\infty(E) \to C^\infty(T^*X \otimes E)$ which is not necessarily commutes with the action of G. Then set

$$\widetilde{\nabla} s(x) := \int_G c(g^{-1}x) g \nabla g^{-1} s(x) \, \mathrm{d}g \qquad \text{for} \quad s \in C^\infty(E).$$

□

Note that the action of G on E and X induces the action on $E \otimes T^*X$. $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a desired connection. In fact for any fixed $\gamma \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma[\widetilde{\nabla}s](x) &= \gamma(\widetilde{\nabla}s(\gamma^{-1}x)) \\
&= \int_G c(g^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x)\gamma g \nabla g^{-1} s(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \int_G c(\{\gamma g\}^{-1}x)\{\gamma g\}\nabla\{\gamma g\}^{-1}\gamma s(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}\{\gamma g\} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}(\gamma[s])(x) &= \int_G c(g^{-1}x) g\nabla g^{-1}\gamma s(\gamma^{-1}x)\,\mathrm{d}g, \\
\text{therefore}\qquad \gamma[\widetilde{\nabla}s](x) &= \widetilde{\nabla}(\gamma[s])(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The compatibility with the Hermitian metric follows from;

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \widetilde{\nabla}s_1(x), s_2(x)\right\rangle + \left\langle s_1(x), \widetilde{\nabla}s_2(x)\right\rangle &= \int_G c(g^{-1})\left\langle g\nabla g^{-1}s_1(x), s_2(x)\right\rangle + \left\langle s_1(x), g\nabla g^{-1}s_2(x)\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \int_G c(g^{-1})\left\langle \nabla g^{-1}(s_1(x), g^{-1}s_2(x)\right\rangle + \left\langle s_1(x), g^{-1}\nabla g^{-1}(s_2(x)\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \int_G c(g^{-1})\mathrm{d}\left\langle g^{-1}s_1(x), g^{-1}s_2(x)\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \int_G c(g^{-1})\mathrm{d}\left\langle s_1(x), s_2(x)\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \mathrm{d}\left\langle s_1(x), s_2(x)\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lipnitz rule follows from;

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}(fs)(x) &= \int_G c(g^{-1}x) g\nabla f g^{-1}s(x)\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \mathrm{d}f \otimes \int_G c(g^{-1}x) g g^{-1}s(x)\,\mathrm{d}g + f(x)\int_G c(g^{-1}x) g\nabla g^{-1}s(x)\,\mathrm{d}g \\
&= \mathrm{d}f \otimes s(x) + f(x)\widetilde{\nabla}s(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4 Let E be a smooth Hermitian G-vector bundle, then G acts on $\mathrm{End}(E)$ by

$$\gamma[T] = \gamma T \gamma^{-1} \qquad \text{for} \quad T \in \mathrm{End}(E), \gamma \in G.$$

Then, $\gamma(T(\sigma)) = \gamma[T](\gamma\sigma)$ and $\gamma[S \circ T] = \gamma[S] \circ \gamma[T]$ hold for any $S, T \in \mathrm{End}(E)_x$ and $\sigma \in E_x$.

Definition 3.5 (Chern classes and Chern character) Let E be a smooth Hermitian G-vector bundle over X. Choose a G-invariant connection ∇ on E and let $\Theta^\nabla \in C^\infty(\bigwedge^2(T^*X) \otimes \mathfrak{u}(E))$ denote the curvature 2-form. Then define the Chern classes $c_k(E, \nabla) \in \Omega^{2k}(X)$ and the Chern character $\mathrm{ch}(E, \nabla) \in \Omega^*(X)$ by the formula;

$$\sum_k c_k(E, \nabla)\lambda^k = \det\left(\frac{i\lambda\Theta^\nabla}{2\pi} - \mathrm{id}_E\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{ch}(E, \nabla) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\exp\left(\frac{i\Theta^\nabla}{2\pi}\right)\right).$$

We may omit ∇ when it is clear from the conetxt. As usual, $c_k(E, \nabla)$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E, \nabla)$ are closed form.

Remark 3.6 The following two lemmas are valid also for any other characteristic classes constructed using the Chern Weil theory.

Lemma 3.7 *Let ∇ be a G-invariant connection on E. Then Θ^{∇}, $c_k(E,\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla)$ are G-invariant differential form.*

Proof. Note that $\Theta^{\nabla}\wedge s = \mathrm{d}^{\nabla}\mathrm{d}^{\nabla}s$, where $\mathrm{d}^{\nabla}\colon C^{\infty}(\bigwedge^k T^*X\otimes E)\to C^{\infty}(\bigwedge^{k+1}T^*X\otimes E)$ denotes the exterior covariant derivative defined by

$$\mathrm{d}^{\nabla}(\omega\otimes s) = \mathrm{d}\omega\otimes s + (-1)^k\omega\wedge\nabla s \qquad \text{for} \quad \omega\in\Omega^k(X), s\in C^{\infty}(E),$$

which commutes with the action of G. Hence, Θ^{∇} is G-invariant and we can conclude that $c_k(E,\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla)$ are G-invariant since they are expressed by polynomials in $\mathrm{tr}(\Theta^{\nabla})$, $\mathrm{tr}((\Theta^{\nabla})^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}((\Theta^{\nabla})^3),\cdots$. □

Lemma 3.8 *Let E be a smooth Hermitian G-vector bundle over X. Let ∇^0 and ∇^1 be G-invariant connections on E. Then there exist G-invariant differential form of odd degree $\theta_k\in\Omega^{2k-1}(X)$ for each k and $\theta\in\Omega^{odd}(X)$ such that*

$$\mathrm{d}\theta_k = c_k(E,\nabla^1) - c_k(E,\nabla^0) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathrm{d}\theta = \mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla^1) - \mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla^0).$$

In particular $\int_X c(x)\mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla)$ and $\int_X c(x)P(c_1(E,\nabla),c_2(E,\nabla),\ldots)$, where P is an arbitrary multi-variable polynomial, are independent of the choice of G-invariant connection ∇ (and a cut-off function c) due to the lemma 2.5.

Proof. Since $(\nabla^1-\nabla^0)(fs) = f(\nabla^1-\nabla^0)s$ for $f\in C^{\infty}(X)$ and $s\in C^{\infty}(E)$, there exists $\eta\in C^{\infty}(\bigwedge^1 T^*X\otimes\mathrm{End}(E))$ such that

$$(\nabla^1-\nabla^0)s = \eta\wedge s.$$

Note that η is G-invariant since ∇^0 and ∇^1 are G-invariant connections. Consider a smooth family of connections for $t\in[0,1]$

$$\nabla^t := \nabla^0 + t\eta.$$

We need a local expression of the curvature 2-forms. Fix a local trivialization $E|_U\to U\times\mathbb{C}^N$ and express $\nabla^0 = \mathrm{d}+\omega$. Then we have

$$\nabla^t = \mathrm{d}+\omega+t\eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Theta(t) := \Theta^{\nabla^t} = d\omega(t)+\omega(t)\wedge\omega(t),$$

where $\omega(t)=\omega+t\eta$. Note that also $\Theta(t)$ are G-invariant since ∇^t are commute with the action of G.

We will omit the symbol $\wedge$ for the rest of the proof if there is no chance of leading to misunderstandings. Due to the Bianchi identity for ∇^t, that is, $\mathrm{d}\Theta(t) = \Theta(t)\omega(t)-\omega(t)\Theta(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\Theta(t)^m &= \sum_{j=1}^{m}\Theta(t)^{m-j}\Big(\mathrm{d}\Theta(t)\Big)\Theta(t)^{j-1}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m}\Theta(t)^{m-j}\Big(\Theta(t)\omega(t)-\omega(t)\Theta(t)\Big)\Theta(t)^{j-1}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m}\Theta(t)^{m-j+1}\omega(t)\Theta(t)^{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\Theta(t)^{m-j}\omega(t)\Theta(t)^{j}\\
&= \Theta(t)^m\omega(t)-\omega(t)\Theta(t)^m. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular $\mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)^m)$ are closed forms due to the symmetry of the trace;

$$\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)^m) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{d}\Theta(t)^m) = \mathrm{tr}\left\{\Theta(t)^m\omega(t)-\omega(t)\Theta(t)^m\right\} = 0. \qquad (3.2)$$

Due to (3.1), the symmetry of the trace and the fact that ω and $\eta\Theta(t)^m$ are of odd degree,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\eta\omega(t)+\omega(t)\eta\right)\Theta(t)^m\right\} \\ = \ & \operatorname{tr}\left\{\eta\omega(t)\Theta(t)^m-\eta\Theta(t)^m\omega(t)\right\} \\ = \ & \operatorname{tr}\left\{\eta\wedge\left(\omega(t)\Theta(t)^m-\Theta(t)^m\omega(t)\right)\right\} \\ = \ & -\operatorname{tr}\left\{\eta\wedge \mathrm{d}\Theta(t)^m\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using (3.3) and again the symmetry of the trace,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\Theta(t)^k)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\Theta(t)^k\right) &= \operatorname{tr}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Theta(t)^{k-j}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\Theta(t)\right)\Theta(t)^{j-1}\right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k}\operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\Theta(t)\right)\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right\} \\ &= k\operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathrm{d}\eta+\eta\omega(t)+\omega(t)\eta\right)\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right\} \\ &= k\left(\operatorname{tr}\left\{\mathrm{d}\eta\wedge\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right\}-\operatorname{tr}\left\{\eta\wedge\mathrm{d}\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right\}\right) \\ &= k\operatorname{tr}\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\eta\wedge\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right)\right\} \\ &= \mathrm{d}\left(k\operatorname{tr}\left(\eta\wedge\Theta(t)^{k-1}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(\Theta(1)^k)-\operatorname{tr}(\Theta(0)^k) &= \mathrm{d}\left(k\operatorname{tr}\left(\eta\int_0^1\Theta(t)^{k-1}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\zeta_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\zeta_k = k\operatorname{tr}\left(\eta\int_0^1\Theta(t)^{k-1}\mathrm{d}t\right)$, which are G invariant since η and $\Theta(t)$ are globally defined G-invariant differential forms.

Next, for $\lfloor\frac{\dim(X)}{2}\rfloor$-variable polynomials P, let us introduce G-invariant differential forms

$$P\langle t\rangle := P\left(\operatorname{tr}(\Theta(t)),\ \operatorname{tr}(\Theta(t)^2),\ \operatorname{tr}(\Theta(t)^3),\dots\right).$$

And we claim that every P satisfies the following two conditions;

(A) $P\langle t\rangle$ is a closed form; $\mathrm{d}P\langle t\rangle = 0$.

(B) There exists a G-invariant differential form $\zeta_P\in\Omega^*(X)$ such that $\mathrm{d}\zeta_P = P\langle 1\rangle - P\langle 0\rangle$.

If this is true, we have finished the proof of the lemma 3.8 since $c_k(E,\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E,\nabla)$ are expressed by polynomials in $\operatorname{tr}(\Theta^\nabla), \operatorname{tr}((\Theta^\nabla)^2), \operatorname{tr}((\Theta^\nabla)^3), \cdots$.

Let us verify the claim. If two polynomials P and Q satisfy these conditions, obviously so does $aP+bQ$. We will verify that so does the product PQ. In fact, we have

$$\mathrm{d}(P\langle t\rangle\, Q\langle t\rangle) = \mathrm{d}P\langle t\rangle\, Q\langle t\rangle + P\langle t\rangle\,\mathrm{d}Q\langle t\rangle = 0,$$

so PQ satisfies the condition (A). Besides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\langle 1\rangle\, Q\langle 1\rangle - P\langle 0\rangle\, Q\langle 0\rangle &= \left(P\langle 1\rangle - P\langle 0\rangle\right)Q\langle 1\rangle + P\langle 0\rangle\left(Q\langle 1\rangle - Q\langle 0\rangle\right) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\zeta_P Q\langle 1\rangle + P\langle 0\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\zeta_Q \\ &= \mathrm{d}\left(\zeta_P Q\langle 1\rangle + P\langle 0\rangle\,\zeta_Q\right) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\zeta_{PQ}. \end{aligned}$$

Since all of the $\zeta_P, Q\langle 1\rangle, P\langle 0\rangle$ and ζ_Q are G-invariant, so does $\zeta_{PQ} := \zeta_P Q\langle 1\rangle + P\langle 0\rangle \zeta_Q$, which implies that PQ satisfies the condition (B).

Moreover, it follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that all of the monomial P_k of the form

$$P_k\left(\mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)),\ \mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)^2),\ \mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)^3), \dots\right) = \mathrm{tr}(\Theta(t)^k),$$

which generate all the polynomials, satisfy the two conditions above. Therefore we conclude that every polynomial P satisfies (A) and (B). □

3.2 Properly Supported G-Invariant Elliptic Operators

Let X be a proper G-Riemannian manifold, and $\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1$ be Hermitian G-vector bundle. (Later on, we will consider a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded bundle $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$.) The goal of this subsection is to define a K-homology classes determined by properly supported G-invariant elliptic operators. We refer to [K, Section 3] and [Hö71].

Let $\pi\colon T^*X \to X$ be the projection. For a short while, we forget the action of G.

Definition 3.9

Pseudo-differential operators. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, a pseudo-differential operator $A_0\colon C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V}^0) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{V}^1)$ of order m is an operator of the form

$$A_0 s(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{X \times T_x^* X} e^{i\Phi(y,(x,\xi))} \mathfrak{a}(y,(x,\xi)) s(y)\, \mathrm{d}y\, \mathrm{d}\xi \qquad \text{for} \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V}_0) \tag{3.5}$$

with smooth function $\mathfrak{a}\colon X \times T^*X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}^0, \pi^*\mathbb{V}^1)$ satisfying the condition that for any compact subset $K \subset X \times X$ and multi indices $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, where $n = \dim X$, there exists a constant $C_{a,a',b,K}$ is a constant depending on K, a, a' and b such that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{|a'|}}{\partial y^{a'}} \frac{\partial^{|a|}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial^{|b|}}{\partial \xi^b} \mathfrak{a}(y,(x,\xi)) \right\| \le C_{a,a',b,K} \sqrt{1 + \|\xi\|^2}^{\,m-|b|} \qquad \text{for any } (x,y) \in K,\ \xi \in T_x X.$$

$\mathfrak{a}$ is assumed to vanish outside a neighborhood U of the diagonal of $X \times X$ so that a small neighborhood of the image of the zero-section of TX is diffeomorphic to U by $(x,v) \mapsto (x, \exp_x(v))$ and that the phase function Φ is defined on this neighborhood U.

Here Φ, called the phase function, is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued function given by $\Phi(y,(x,\xi)) = \left\langle \xi, \exp_x^{-1}(y) \right\rangle_{T_x^* X}$. For instance if $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(y,(x,\xi)) = \langle \xi, y - x \rangle$.

The space consisting of all pseudo-differential operators $A_0\colon C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V}^0) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{V}^1)$ will be denoted by $\Psi\mathrm{D}\mathrm{O}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$.

Schwartz kernels. The Schwartz kernel or the distributional kernel for $A_0 \in \Psi\mathrm{D}\mathrm{O}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is a distributional section $K_{A_0}\colon X \times X \to \mathbb{V}^1 \boxtimes (\mathbb{V}^0)^*$ such that

$$A_0 s(x) = \int_X K_{A_0}(x,y) s(y)\, \mathrm{d}y \qquad \text{for} \quad s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V}_0).$$

Formally, K_{A_0} is given by the formula;

$$K_{A_0}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{T_x^* X} e^{i\Phi(y,(x,\xi))} \mathfrak{a}(y,(x,\xi))\, \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

in the distributional sense and precisely, K_{A_0} is determined by

$$K_{A_0}(w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{X \times T^*X} e^{i\Phi(y,(x,\xi))} \mathfrak{a}(y,(x,\xi)) w(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\xi \tag{3.6}$$

holds for any $w \in C_c^\infty((\mathbb{V}^1)^* \boxtimes \mathbb{V}^0)$. The simplest example for Schwartz kernel is the Dirac-delta distributional function for the identity operator $K_{\mathrm{id}}(x,y) = \delta_{x,y}$.

Properly supported pseudo-differential operators. $A_0 \in \Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is said to be properly supported or has proper support if both of the maps p_1 and $p_2 \colon \mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0}) \to X$ are proper, where p_i denotes the projection onto the i-th factor, in other words, both of the subsets

$$(\mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0})) \cap (K \times X) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0})) \cap (X \times K) \subset X \times X$$

are compact for any compact subset $K \subset X$. The sub-space of $\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ consisting of all properly supported pseudo-differential operators will be denoted by $\text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$.

Symbol functions. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ as the space consisting of all sections $\sigma \colon T^*X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}^0, \pi^*\mathbb{V}^1)$ satisfying that for any compact subset $K \subset X$ and multi indices $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$, where $n = \dim X$, there exists a constant $C_{a,b,K}$ is a constant depending on K, a and b such that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{|a|}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial^{|b|}}{\partial \xi^b} \sigma(x,\xi) \right\| \leq C_{a,b,K} \sqrt{1 + \|\xi\|^2}^{m-|b|} \qquad \text{holds for any } x \in K,\ \xi \in T_xX. \tag{3.7}$$

An element $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is called a symbol function of order m and $\sigma \in [\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)] := \mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1) / \mathrm{Symb}^{m-1}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is called a principal symbol. For example, every polynomial function in ξ of order m is an element of $\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$.

Principal symbol of pseudo-differential operators. Let $A_0 \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ be a properly supported pseudo-differential operator of order m. Take a sufficiently small Euclidean neighborhood W and local co-ordinate $\psi \colon W \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the symbol σ_{A_0} of $A_0 \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is defined by the formula

$$\sigma_{A_0}(x,\xi) = e^{-i\langle \psi(x), \xi \rangle} A_0 e^{i\langle \psi(x), \xi \rangle} \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in W,\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.8}$$

σ_{A_0} belongs to $\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$, and σ_{A_0} regarded as an element of $[\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)]$ is called the principal symbol of A_0. We may abbreviate principal symbol as just symbol.

Remark 3.10

(1) Let us consider an operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a diffeomorphism, then the principal symbol of the operator $(\varphi^*)^{-1} A_0 \varphi^*$ is

$$\sigma_{(\varphi^*)^{-1} A_0 \varphi^*}(x,\xi) = \sigma_{A_0}(\varphi(x), \varphi^*(\xi)) \mod \mathrm{Symb}^{m-1}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1).$$

This implies that the principal symbol $\sigma_{A_0} \in [\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)]$ defined by (3.8) does not depend on the choice of local co-ordinates.

(2) If A_0 is given by (3.5), its principal symbol is calculated from $\mathfrak{a}$ by

$$\sigma_{A_0}(x,\xi) = \mathfrak{a}(x,(x,\xi)) \quad \in [\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)].$$

Conversely a symbol function $\sigma \in \mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ has an amplitude $\mathfrak{a}\colon X \times T^*X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}^0, \pi^*\mathbb{V}^1)$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}(y, (x, \xi)) := \chi(x, y)\sigma(x, \xi), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\chi\colon X \times X \to [0, 1]$ is a smooth function satisfying that $\chi(x, x) = 1$ and $\chi(x, y) = 0$ if $\mathrm{dist}(x, y) > r$, where r is the injective radius of X, which is bounded below. We can construct a pseudo differential operator by (3.5) whose principal symbol is equal to the given $\sigma \in [\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)]$.

(3) If $A_0 \in \Psi\mathrm{DO}^{m_0}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ and $A_1 \in \Psi\mathrm{DO}^{m_1}(\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^2)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A_1A_0} &= \sigma_{A_1}\sigma_{A_0} && \mod \mathrm{Symb}^{m_0+m_1-1}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^2) \\ \text{and} \qquad \sigma_{A_0^*} &= (\sigma_{A_0})^* && \mod \mathrm{Symb}^{m_0-1}(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let us start with a symbol $\sigma \in [\mathrm{Symb}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)]$. When we construct an amplitude (3.9), if we replace r by the minimum among the injective radius and 1 so that $\mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ is in bounded distance from the diagonal in $X \times X$, any pseudo-differential operator constructed by the formula (3.9) and (3.5) from a given symbol is always properly supported. This is because if w in (3.6) has a support outside the r-neighborhood of the diagonal in $X \times X$, $\mathfrak{a}(y, (x, \xi))w(x, y) = 0$ for any $(x, y) \in X \times X$ therefore K_{A_0} has a support contained in the r-neighborhood of the diagonal in $X \times X$. So both $(\mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0})) \cap (K \times X)$ and $(\mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0})) \cap (X \times K)$ are contained in a $2r$-neighborhood of $K \times K$ which is bounded.

(5) For any properly supported pseudo-differential operator $A_0 \in \Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$, the image of $C_c(\mathbb{V}^0)$ is contained in $C_c(\mathbb{V}^1)$. For these reasons we basically assume that A_0 is properly supported.

Definition 3.11 (Ellipticity) An operator $A_0 \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ is called Elliptic if the principal symbol $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$ is invertible at infinity in ξ, more precisely, there exists a symbol $\tau \in [\mathrm{Symb}^{-m}(\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^0)]$ such that

$$\lim_{\|\xi\|\to\infty} \|\tau(x, \xi)\sigma_{A_0}(x, \xi) - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{V}^0}\| = \lim_{\|\xi\|\to\infty} \|\sigma_{A_0}(x, \xi)\tau(x, \xi) - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{V}^1}\| = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

uniformly in $x \in K$ for any compact subsets $K \subset X$.

Remark 3.12

(1) Without loss of generality we may consider the case of elliptic operators of order 0 and furthermore the condition (3.10) may be replaced by the condition that the principal symbol is unitary at infinity, that is,

$$\lim_{\|\xi\|\to\infty} \left\|\sigma^*_{A_0}(x, \xi)\sigma_{A_0}(x, \xi) - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{V}^0}\right\| = \lim_{\|\xi\|\to\infty} \left\|\sigma_{A_0}(x, \xi)\sigma^*_{A_0}(x, \xi) - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{V}^1}\right\| = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

uniformly in $x \in K$ for any compact subsets $K \subset X$. This is because $\sigma_{A_0} \in [\mathrm{Symb}^0(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)]$ can be modified to

$$\sigma' := \left(\sigma_{A_0}\sigma^*_{A_0}\right)^{-1/2}\sigma_{A_0}$$

at infinity so that σ' satisfies the condition (3.11) through a homotopy by a family of symbols $\left\{\left(\sigma_{A_0}\sigma^*_{A_0}\right)^{-t/2}\sigma_{A_0}\right\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ which are invertible, at infinity in ξ.

(2) In the case of elliptic operators A on $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$ of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the requirement (3.11) will be replaced by $\lim_{\|\xi\|\to\infty} \left\|\sigma_A(x, \xi)^2 - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{V}}\right\| = 0$.

Let us recall the action of G.

Definition 3.13 (G-invariant operators) G acts on P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ by the formula;

$$\gamma[A_0] = \gamma A_0 \gamma^{-1}.$$

Let P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m_G(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ denote the subspace of P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ consisting of all G-invariant operators.

Remark 3.14 It directly follows that the action of G on the operators are compatible with the action on the sections, that is, $\gamma[A_0 s] = \gamma[A_0]\,\gamma[s]$ for $s \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{V}^0)$.

Note that the action of G on $\mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$ induces the action on the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}^0, \pi^*\mathbb{V}^1)$ over T^*X. Any G-invariant operator $A_0 \in$ P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m_G(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ has the G-invariant principal symbol. Conversely we have the following;

Lemma 3.15 *If $\sigma\colon T^*X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}^0, \pi^*\mathbb{V}^1)$ is a G-invariant principal symbol of oder m, then there exists a G-invariant operator $A \in$ P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m_G(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ whose principal symbol is σ.*

Proof. When we take an amplitude $\mathfrak{a}$ of σ by (3.9), choose $\chi\colon X \times X \to [0,1]$ so that $\chi(x,y)$ depends only on $\mathrm{dist}(x,y)$. More precisely, let $\bar{\chi}\colon \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\bar{\chi}(t) = 1$ for $t \leq r/4$ and $\bar{\chi}(t) = 0$ for $r \geq r/2$, where r is a constant less than the injective radius. and set $\chi(x,y) := \bar{\chi}(\mathrm{dist}(x,y))$. Since the action of G is isometric, the amplitude $\mathfrak{a}(y,(x,\xi))$ constructed by (3.9) using this χ is G-invariant. Then the pseudo-differential operator $A \in$ P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^m(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ constructed by the formula (3.5) is G-invariant. □

We will construct a K-homology classes $[A] := (L^2(\mathbb{V}), A) \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ induced by properly supported G-invariant elliptic operators. in the last of this subsection. In order to argue the L^2 boundedness of elliptic operators, let us introduce the following theorem by Hömander;

Theorem 3.16 [Hö71, Theorem 2.2.1] *Let $P \in$ P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^0(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ be a properly supported pseudo-differential operator of order 0. Suppose that for any compact subset $K \subset X$*

$$\limsup_{\|\xi\| \to \infty} \|\sigma_P(x,\xi)\| < C \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in K.$$

Then there exists a properly supported pseudo differential operator $B \in$ P-$\Psi\mathrm{DO}^0(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ of order 0 and a self adjoint integral operator R with continuous and properly supported kernel such that

$$PP^* + BB^* - C^2 = R.$$

Moreover, if the support of the Schwartz kernel of P is compact in $X \times X$, then both B and R will also have compactly supported Schwartz kernels. □

Lemma 3.17 *Let $P \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{V}^0), L^2(\mathbb{V}^1))$ be a bounded operator with Schwartz kernel supported in a compact subset $K \times K \subset X \times X$. Then $\widetilde{P} := \int_G \gamma[P]\,\mathrm{d}\gamma$ is well defined as a bounded operator in $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{V}^0), L^2(\mathbb{V}^1))$ and the inequation $\left\|\widetilde{P}\right\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C_K \|P\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ holds, where C_K is a constant depending on K.*

Proof. We will follow the proof of [CM, Lemma 1.4 - 1.5]. Fix an arbitrary smooth section with compact support $s \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{V}^0)$ and let us consider $F = F_s \in L^2\left(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)\right)$ given by

$$F_s(\gamma) := \gamma[P]s.$$

Note that for any $\gamma \in G$ the Schwartz kernel of $\gamma[P]$ is contained in $\gamma(K) \times \gamma(K)$. This is because for any $s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V}^0)$, $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma[P]s) \subset \gamma(K)$ and $\gamma[P]s = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{supp}(s) \cap \gamma(K) = \emptyset$. In particular, since the action of G on X is proper, F has compact support in G and hence $F \in L^2\left(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)\right)$. In addition, since the action of G on X is proper, $\gamma(K) \cap \eta(K) = \gamma(K \cap \gamma^{-1}\eta(K)) = \emptyset$ if $\gamma^{-1}\eta \in G$ is outside some compact neighborhood $Z \subset G$ in particular, $\langle F(\gamma), F(\eta)\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} = 0$ for such γ and $\eta \in G$. Remind that Z is determined only by K so independent of s. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_G F(\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} &= \left\| \int_G F(\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} \left\| \int_G F(\eta)\, \mathrm{d}\eta \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} \\
&\leq \int_G \int_G \left| \langle F(\gamma), F(\eta)\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} \right| \mathrm{d}\gamma\, \mathrm{d}\eta \\
&\leq \int_G \|F(\gamma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} \left(\int_G \chi_Z(\gamma^{-1}\eta)\, \|F(\eta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)}\, \mathrm{d}\eta \right) \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\leq \|F\|_{L^2(G)}\, \|\chi_Z\|_{L^2(G)}\, \|F\|_{L^2(G)} \\
&\leq |Z|\, \|F\|^2_{L^2(G)}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_Z \colon G \to [0,1]$ is the characteristic function of C, that is $\chi_Z(\gamma) = 1$ for $x \in Z$ and $\chi_Z(\gamma) = 0$ for $x \notin Z$.

On the other hand, take a compactly supported smooth function $f \in C_c^\infty(X; [0,1])$ such that $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in K$ and $f(x) = 0$ if $\mathrm{dist}(x, K) > r$. Since the support of the Schwartz kernel of P is contained in $K \times K$ and hence $P = Pf$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|^2_{L^2(G)} = \int_G \|F(\gamma)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)}\, \mathrm{d}\gamma &= \int_G \left\|\gamma P f \gamma^{-1} s\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)}\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\leq \int_G \|P\|^2_{\mathrm{op}} \left\|f\gamma^{-1}s\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^0)}\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\leq \|P\|^2_{\mathrm{op}} \int_G \int_X |f(x)|^2 \left\|\gamma^{-1}s(x)\right\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^0}\, \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\leq \|P\|^2_{\mathrm{op}} \int_G \int_X |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2 \left\|s(x)\right\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^0}\, \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\leq \|P\|^2_{\mathrm{op}} \sup_{x \in X} \left(\int_G |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right) \|s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^0)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the action of G is proper, $\left\{\gamma \in G \,\middle|\, \gamma^{-1}x \in \mathrm{supp}(f)\right\} \subset G$ is compact so the value $\int_G |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2 \mathrm{d}\gamma$ is always finite for any fixed $x \in X$. Besides, since X/G is compact, we have

$$\sup_{x \in X} \left(\int_G |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right) = \sup_{[x] \in X/G} \left(\int_G |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right) < \infty$$

Remind that this value depends only on K and independent of s. We conclude that

$$\left\| \int_G \gamma[P]s\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} = \left\| \int_G F(\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^1)} \leq |Z|\, \|F\|^2_{L^2(G)} \leq |Z| C \cdot \|P\|^2_{\mathrm{op}}\, \|s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{V}^0)}\,,$$

where $C = \sup_{x \in X} \left(\int_G |f(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \right) < \infty$. □

Lemma 3.18 *Let $A_0 \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}^0_G(\mathbb{V}^0, \mathbb{V}^1)$ be an elliptic operator of order 0 which is properly supported and G-invariant. If X/G is compact, then A_0 is L^2-bounded.*

Proof. A_0 satisfies the assumptions of theorem 3.16. Let $c \in C_c^\infty(X)$ be an arbitrary cut-off function. And let us consider $P := cA_0$. it has the Schwartz kernel supported in a compact subset $(\mathrm{supp}(c) \times X) \cap \mathrm{supp}(K_{A_0}) \subset X \times X$ and still satisfies the assumptions of the proposition. Then we have

$$0 \leq PP^* + BB^* = C^2 + R.$$

And it follows that P is bounded since BB^* is positive and $C^2 + R$ is bounded.

Next, note that $A_0 = \int_G \gamma[cA_0]\,\mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_G \gamma[P]\,\mathrm{d}\gamma$ since A_0 itself is G-invariant. Then by the previous lemma 3.17, A_0 is L^2 bounded. □

Lemma 3.19 [K, Lemma 3.7] Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}_G^0(\mathbb{V})$ be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator on $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$. Then for any $f \in C_0(X)$, the multiplication operators by f commutes with A modulo compact operators $\mathbb{K}(L^2(\mathbb{V}))$, and $f(1 - A^2)$ is compact.

Proof. It is enough to prove when f has a compact support. The commutator $Af - fA$ is a pseudo-differential operator of negative order because the principal symbol of order 0 is

$$\sigma_{Af-fA} = \sigma_A f - f\sigma_A = 0 \in [\mathrm{Symb}^0(\mathbb{V})].$$

Also, the symbol of $f(1 - A^2)$ satisfies

$$\sigma_{f(1-A^2)}(x) = f(x)(1 - \sigma_{A^2}(x, \xi)) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \quad \|\xi\| \to \infty.$$

Let P be $Af - fA$ or $f(1 - A^2)$. Then P has the compactly supported Schwartz kernel and satisfies the assumption of theorem 3.16 for any small constant $C > 0$. We obtain

$$PP^* + BB^* = R$$

with R having the compactly supported Schwartz kernel. Hence R is a compact operator, which implies that so is P. □

Remark 3.20 Note that the symbol $\sigma_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{A_0}^* \\ \sigma_A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator A of order 0 induces a map $\sigma_A \colon \pi^*\mathbb{V} \to \pi^*\mathbb{V}$, where $\pi \colon T^*X \to X$ denotes the projection. Additionally, note that the space of $C_0(T^*X)$-compact operators on $C_0(\pi^*\mathbb{V})$ is $C_0(\mathrm{End}(\pi^*\mathbb{V}))$ and that $C_0(X)$ acts on $C_0(\pi^*\mathbb{V})$ by the point-wise multiplication. Hence, for any $f \in C_0(X)$ $\sigma_A f - f\sigma_A (= 0)$ and $f(\sigma_A^2 - 1)$ are compact as an operator on a Hilbert $C_0(T^*X)$-module.

Definition 3.21 (The K-homology classes determined by elliptic operators)

(1) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{P-}\Psi\mathrm{DO}_G^0(\mathbb{V})$ be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0 on a graded Hermitian G-vector bundle $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$ over X. Due to the lemma 3.18 and 3.19, we can define a K-cohomology class

$$[A] := (L^2(\mathbb{V}),\ A) \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$$

with the action of $C_0(X)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{V})$ being the point-wise multiplication.

(2) Its symbol $\sigma_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{A_0}^* \\ \sigma_A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ determines the following element in KK-theory;

$$[\sigma_A] := (C_0(\pi^*\mathbb{V}),\ \sigma_A) \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(T^*X)).$$

4 Index Maps

4.1 The Analytic Index Map

Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let X be a G-compact proper complete G-Riemannian manifold. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a smooth (finite dimensional) Hermitian G-vector bundle over X. We summarize the notations;

- $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V})$ denotes the space of compactly supported smooth sections $s\colon X \to \mathbb{V}$.
- $C_0(\mathbb{V})$ denotes the C^*-algebra consisting of continuous sections $s\colon X \to \mathbb{V}$ vanishing at infinity equipped with the sup-norm.
- $L^2(\mathbb{V})$ denotes the Hilbert $C_0(\mathbb{V})$-module consisting of L^2-sections $s\colon X \to \mathbb{V}$. $C_0(\mathbb{V})$ acts by point-wise multiplication.

Definition 4.1 (Analytical Index) Let us consider additionally the grading on $\mathbb{V}$, that is $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \colon L^2(\mathbb{V}) \to L^2(\mathbb{V})$$

be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0. We define the analytical index as

$$\mathrm{ind}_{C^*(G)}(A) := [\mathrm{Ker}(A_0)] - [\mathrm{Ker}(A_0^*)] \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

4.2 The Topological Index Map

Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let X be a G-compact proper complete G-Riemannian manifold. Let A be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0 on a graded Hermitian G-vector bundle $\mathbb{V}$. Then as in definition 3.21, A defines the K-cohomology class

$$[A] = \big(L^2(\mathbb{V}), m, A\big) \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}),$$

where the action m of $C_0(X)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{V})$ is ordinary point-wise multiplication. We would like to define the index map

$$\mu_G\colon KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \to K_0(C^*(G)).$$

Definition 4.2 Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group, A and B be G-algebras and $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi, T) \in \mathbb{E}^G(A, B)$ be a Kasparov G-module over (A, B). We will introduce the following notations;

(1) $\widehat{T}$ is defined to be an operator on $C^*(G; \mathcal{E})$ given by

$$\widehat{T}[\widehat{e}](\gamma) = T(\widehat{e}(\gamma)) \qquad \text{for} \quad \widehat{e} \in C_c(G; \mathcal{E}).$$

(2) The action $\widehat{\varphi}$ of $C^*(G; A)$ on $C^*(G; \mathcal{E})$ is given by the following convolution product;

$$\widehat{\varphi}[\widehat{a}](\widehat{e})\colon \gamma \mapsto \int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma} \varphi(\widehat{a}(\gamma_1))\gamma_1(\widehat{e}(\gamma_2))\mathrm{d}\gamma_1 \qquad \text{for} \quad \widehat{a} \in C_c(G; A), \quad \widehat{e} \in C_c(G; \mathcal{E}).$$

Lemma 4.3 [K88, Theorem 3.11] *Let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. For any G-algebras A and B there exists a natural homomorphism*

$$j^G\colon KK^G(A, B) \to KK(C^*(G; A), C^*(G; B))$$

constructed as follows; If $x = (\mathcal{E}, \varphi, T) \in KK^G(A, B)$,

$$j^G(x) = \left(C^*(G; \mathcal{E}), \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{T}\right).$$

Furthermore if $x \in KK^G(A, B)$ *and* $y \in KK^G(B, D)$, *then* $j^G(x \widehat{\otimes}_B y) = j^G(x) \widehat{\otimes}_{C^*(G;B)} j^G(y)$. □

Lemma 4.4 *Let* G *be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff group. Let* X *be a* G*-compact proper complete* G*-Riemannian manifold. Using a cut-off function* $c \in C_c(X)$, *one can define an idempotent* $p \in C_c(G; C_0(X))$ *by the formula;*

$$\check{c}(\gamma)(x) = \sqrt{c(x)c(\gamma^{-1}x)}.$$

In particular it defines an element of K-homology denoted by $[c] \in K_0(C^*(G; C_0(X)))$. *Moreover the element of K-homology* $[c] \in K_0(C^*(G; C_0(X)))$ *does not depend on the choice of cut-off functions.*

Proof. It is verified by the direct calculation that $\check{c}$ is an idempotent;

$$\begin{aligned}
(\check{c} * \check{c})(\gamma)(x) &= \int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma} \check{c}(\gamma_1)(x) \cdot \gamma_1[p(\gamma_2)](x) \mathrm{d}\gamma_1 \\
&= \int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma} \sqrt{c(x)c(\gamma_1^{-1}x)} \sqrt{c(\gamma_1^{-1}x)c(\gamma_2^{-1}(\gamma_1^{-1}x))} \mathrm{d}\gamma_1 \\
&= \sqrt{c(x)c(\gamma^{-1}x)} \int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma} c(\gamma_1^{-1}x) \mathrm{d}\gamma_1 \\
&= \check{c}(\gamma)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

As for the independence of the choice of cut-off function, it is followed from the fact that the subset in $C_c(X)$ of all cut-off functions on X is convex. Precisely, if we have two cut-off functions c_0 and c_1, $c_t := (1-t)c_0 + tc_1$ provides a family of cut-off functions for $t \in [0, 1]$. $\check{c}_t$ provides a homotopy by idempotents connecting $\check{c}_0$ and $\check{c}_1$. □

Definition 4.5 (Topological Index) Define

$$\mu_G \colon KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \to K_0(C^*(G))$$

as the composition of

- $j^G \colon KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \to KK(C^*(G; C_0(X)), C^*(G))$ with
- $[c]\widehat{\otimes} \colon KK(C^*(G; C_0(X)), C^*(G)) \to KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G)) \simeq K_0(C^*(G))$.

In other words,

$$\mu_G(\text{-}) := [c] \widehat{\otimes}_{C^*(G;C_0(X))} j^G(\text{-}) \quad \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

Proposition 4.6 [K, Theorem 5.6.]

$$\mathrm{ind}_{C^*(G)}(A) = \mu_G([A]).$$

4.3 Reduction to the Dirac Operators

[K, Remark 4.4.] One can reduce the general case of index theorem for elliptic operators to the case of Dirac operators. In this subsection, we will see that any K-homology class $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, where A is a properly supported G-equivariant elliptic operator of order 0 can be realized as a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ on a larger manifold Y obtained by a fiber bundle over X. To be more specific, we will obtain $[A] = (\pi^\sharp)^*[\mathcal{D}]$ with the map $(\pi^\sharp)^* \colon KK^G(C_0(Y), \mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ induced by $\pi^\sharp \colon C_0(X) \to C_0(Y)$. If this has been done, it is sufficient to consider the case when A is a Dirac operator on a Clifford bundle $\mathbb{V}$ over Y and $[A] = \left(L^2(\mathbb{V}),\ \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+A^2}}\right) \in KK^G(C_0(Y), \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 4.7 Let $BX \subset T^*X$ be the unit closed disk bundle in the cotangent bundle, whose boundary is the sphere bundle $\partial BX = SX$. Then construct

$$\Sigma X = BX \cup_{SX} BX$$

gluing two copies of BX together along SX. The zero in the second BX will be denoted by ∞.

In order to avoid the confusion, let us distinguish the notations of projections $\pi_T \colon T^*X \to X$ and $\pi_\Sigma \colon \Sigma X \to X$.

The action of G on X induces the proper co-compact action on ΣX because the action is isometric and each fiber of π_Σ is compact. This action commutes with the projection π_Σ. Let $\pi_\Sigma^\sharp \colon C_0(X) \to C_0(\Sigma X)$ be the homomorphism induced by the projection π_Σ.

In addition, note that ΣX is of a structure of smooth almost complex manifold.

Definition 4.8 Let $\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}$ be the Dolbeault operator on ΣX. In the natural way, it determines the following K-homology class;

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}] := \left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right),\ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}^2}} \right) \in KK^G(\Sigma X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Now let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{P-}\Psi\text{DO}_G^0(\mathbb{V})$ be a properly supported elliptic operator of order 0 on a graded Hermitian G-vector bundle $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^0 \widehat{\oplus} \mathbb{V}^1$. Without loss of generality we may assume its symbol σ_A satisfies

$$\sigma_A^2(x,\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \|\xi\| \geq 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\|\sigma_A^2\right\| \leq 1 \quad \text{everywhere.} \tag{4.1}$$

In particular $\sigma_A|_{SX} \colon (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{SX} \to (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{SX}$ is invertible then it provides a gluing map from $(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{BX}$ to $(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{BX}$. From now on, we will abbreviate $\sigma_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{A_0}^* \\ \sigma_{A_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ to $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0^* \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in order to avoid the complication.

Definition 4.9

- Let us construct a new bundle $\mathbb{V}(\sigma)$ over ΣX by gluing $\left(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^0\right)_{BX}$ and $\left(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1\right)_{BX}$ together;

$$\mathbb{V}(\sigma) := (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{BX} \cup_{\sigma|_{SX}} (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V})_{BX}.$$

Remark that the gluing map σ reverses the gradings, $\mathbb{V}(\sigma)$ has the grading

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \mathbb{V}(\sigma) & = & \mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 & \widehat{\oplus} & \mathbb{V}(\sigma)^1 \\ & := & (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^0)_{BX} \cup_{\sigma_0|_{SX}} (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1)_{BX} & \widehat{\oplus} & (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1)_{BX} \cup_{\sigma_0^*|_{SX}} (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^0)_{BX}. \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

- In addition consider another bundle just given by the pull-back $\pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)$ over ΣX. Remark that $\pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1) \cong \mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0$ on the second $BX \subset \Sigma X$.

  These G-vector bundles $\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0$ and $\pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)$ determine elements in KK-theory;

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0] &= \big(C_0(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0), 0\big) \\ \text{and} \qquad [\pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)] &= \big(C_0(\pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)), 0\big) \in KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X), C_0(\Sigma X)). \end{aligned}$$

- Define an element of KK-group denoted by $[[\sigma]] \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$ as

$$\begin{aligned} [[\sigma]] &:= (\pi_\Sigma^\sharp)^* \left[\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)\right] \\ &= \big(C_0(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)), 0\big) \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X)). \end{aligned}$$

  Remark that we can define such class because each fiber of ΣX is compact, in other words, π_Σ is a proper map. We cannot define a KK-theory class in $KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(T^*X))$ of the same form.

Our main claim in this subsection is the following;

Proposition 4.10

$$[[\sigma]] \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)} [\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}] = [A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, let $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ be a Dirac operator obtained by the twisting the canonical Dolbeault operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded bundle $\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)$. Then it is a realization of initial A through $\pi_*\colon KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X), \mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, in other words,

$$(\pi_\Sigma^\sharp)^*([\mathcal{D}_\sigma]) = [A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}).$$

To verify this, we need theorem 4.13 by G. Kasparov stated below.

Firstly, let us introduce the K-cohomology class of T^*X determined by the Dolbeault operator. Since the manifold T^*X has the canonical structure of an almost complex manifold in particular, Spin^c-structure.

Definition 4.11 Let $\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}$ be the canonical Dirac operator on T^*X called the Dolbeault operator.

It will be regarded as an essentially self-adjoint operator acting on $L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right)$.

Lemma 4.12 *Put $\mathcal{T} := \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}}$ and $\mathcal{H} := L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right)$. Consider the action of $C_0(T^*X)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by point-wise multiplication. Then $(1-\mathcal{T}^2)f$ and $f\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}f$ are compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ for any $f \in C_0(T^*X)$.*

In particular we can define the following K-homology class determined by Dolbeault operator on T^*X;

$$[\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}] := (\mathcal{H},\ \mathcal{T}) = \left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right),\ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}}\right) \in KK^G(C_0(T^*X), \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. For a while, we will abbreviate $\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}$ as $\mathcal{D}$. It is sufficient to consider the case when $f \in C_c^\infty(T^*X)$. Noting that $1-\mathcal{T}^2 = \left(1+\mathcal{D}^2\right)^{-1}$ and using the Rellich lemma, $(1-\mathcal{T}^2)f \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. As for $f\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}f$, we use the formula;

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

This integral converges in the strong topology, not in the operator norm. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}f &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\left(f\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}-\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}}f\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{1}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\left[(1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2)f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f(1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2)\right]\frac{1}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{1}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\left[(1+\lambda^2)\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)-\mathcal{D}\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)\mathcal{D}\right]\frac{1}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)\frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &\quad -\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f)$ is a multiplication operator by a compactly supported function $\mathrm{d}f$ due to the Leibniz rule. Therefore

$$\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)\frac{1}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\left(f\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}f\right)\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}$$

are compact operators by the Rellich lemma. Moreover, since we have

$$\left\|\frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\right\|\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\left\|\frac{\mathcal{D}}{1+\lambda^2+\mathcal{D}^2}\right\|\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}},$$

the last two integrals converge in the operator norm topology and are compact operators. □

Theorem 4.13 [K, Theorem 4.2]

$$[A]=[\sigma]\widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(T^*X)}[\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}]\in KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C}).$$

Recall that $[\sigma]\in KK^G(C_0(X),C_0(T^*X))$ is the KK-theory class given by $[\sigma]=(C_0(\pi^*\mathbb{V}),\,\sigma)$ as in definition 3.21. □

To obtain proposition 4.10, we should rephrase theorem 4.13 in terms of ΣX instead of T^*X. Let us regard T^*X as a subset of ΣX by

$$\begin{aligned} T^*X &= BX\cup_{SX}\left(T^*X\setminus\mathrm{int}(BX)\right) \\ &\cong BX\cup_{SX}\left(BX\setminus\{\text{0-section}\}\right)=\Sigma X\setminus\{(x,\infty_x)\}\hookrightarrow\Sigma X. \end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Noting that the identification $T^*X\setminus\mathrm{int}(BX)\to BX\setminus\{\text{0-section}\};\quad(x,\xi)\mapsto\left(x,\frac{\xi}{\|\xi\|^2}\right)$ is G-equivariant since the action of G does not change the length of co-tangent vectors, we will consider the following G-equivariant inclusion map;

$$\iota\colon C_0(T^*X)\to C_0(\Sigma X).$$

Lemma 4.14 *Consider $\iota_*\colon KK(C_0(X),C_0(T^*X))\to KK(C_0(X),C_0(\Sigma X))$. Then, $\iota_*([\sigma])=[[\sigma]]$.*

Proof. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 &= (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^0)_{BX}\cup_{\sigma_0|_{SX}}(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1)_{BX} \\ \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1 &= (\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1)_{BX}\cup_{\mathrm{id}\,|_{SX}}(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1)_{BX}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{V}_T(\sigma)^0$ denote the restriction of $\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0$ on $T^*X \subset \Sigma X$ using (4.3). Firstly we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\sigma] &= \left(C_0\left(\pi_T^*\mathbb{V}\right), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0^* \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left(C_0\left(\mathbb{V}_T(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1\right), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0^* \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cup_{SX} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(T^*X)).\end{aligned}$$

This is due to the unitary isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cup_{SX} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \pi_T^*\mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}_T(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_T^*\mathbb{V}^1$.

Then, $\iota_* : KK(C_0(X), C_0(T^*X)) \to KK(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$ maps $[\sigma]$ to the class

$$\left(Z, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0^* \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cup_{SX} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in KK(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X)),$$

where

$$Z := \left\{ s \in C_0\left(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1\right) \;\middle|\; s(x,\infty) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in X. \right\}.$$

This K-theory class is equal to the class

$$\left(C_0\left(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1\right), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0^* \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cup_{SX} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in KK(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$$

by the homotopy given by the following $IC_0(\Sigma X)$-module;

$$\left\{ f \in IC_0\left(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1\right) \;\middle|\; f(1)(x,\infty) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in X. \right\}.$$

Finally, it is equal to

$$[[\sigma]] = \left(C_0(\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \mathbin{\widehat{\oplus}} \pi_\Sigma^*(\mathbb{V}^1)),\ 0\right) \in KK^G(C_0(X),\ C_0(\Sigma X)).$$

by the operator homotopy $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t\sigma_0^* \\ t\sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cup_{SX} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. □

Lemma 4.15 *Consider* $\iota^* : KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X), \mathbb{C}) \to KK^G(C_0(T^*X), \mathbb{C})$. *Then,* $\iota^*([\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}]) = [\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}]$.

Proof. $\iota^*([\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}])$ is represented by the class

$$\left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right), \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}^2}} \right) \in KK^G(C_0(T^*X), \mathbb{C})$$

with the point-wise multiplication action by $C_0(T^*X)$ via ι.

For a while, let ι denote also the inclusion of the spaces $\iota : T^*X \hookrightarrow \Sigma X$. For each $k = 0, 1, \ldots,$ we can find a smooth function $f_k : T^*X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ so that the following maps are isometric on each fiber;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{0,k} T^*(\Sigma X) & \to & \bigwedge^{0,k} T^*(T^*X) \\ \omega & \mapsto & f_k \cdot \iota^*\omega. \end{array}$$

Assembling these maps, we obtain the fiber-wise isometry

$$\widehat{\varphi} := \bigoplus_k f_k \cdot \iota^* : \bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X) \to \bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X).$$

Next, let h denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\Sigma X}}{\mathrm{vol}_{T^*X}}$ so that ι induces the isomorphism between measure spaces;

$$(\Sigma X,\ \mathrm{vol}_{\Sigma X}) \cong (T^*X,\ h \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{T^*X}).$$

Using $\widehat{\varphi}$ and h, $L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right)$ can be identified with $L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right)$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right) & \to & L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right) \\ s & \mapsto & \sqrt{h}\cdot\widehat{\varphi}(s). \end{array}$$

This isomorphism will be denoted by $\varphi := \sqrt{h}\cdot\widehat{\varphi}$. Under this unitary equivalence, we have

$$\varphi \circ D_{T^*X} \circ \varphi^{-1} = D_{\Sigma X}.$$

Besides, since the functional calculus commutes with the unitary conjugation, we obtain

$$\varphi \circ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}} \circ \varphi^{-1} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}^2}}.$$

Note that this unitary equivalent map is compatible with the action of $C_0(T^*X)$. Therefore, as elements in $KK(C_0(T^*X), \mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^*([\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}]) &= \left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right),\ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}^2}}\right) \\ &= \left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right),\ \varphi \circ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right) \\ &= \left(\varphi L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(\Sigma X)\right),\ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}}\right) \\ &= \left(L^2\left(\bigwedge^{0,*} T^*(T^*X)\right),\ \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}^2}}\right) \\ &= [\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}] \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4.10. Due to theorem 4.13, lemma 4.14 and lemma 4.15, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [A] &= [\sigma]\widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(TX)}[\mathcal{D}_{T^*X}] \\ &= [\sigma]\widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(TX)}\iota^*([\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}]) \\ &= \iota_*([\sigma])\widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)}[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}] \\ &= [[\sigma]]\ \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)}[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}]. \end{aligned}$$

It implies the second part as

$$\begin{aligned} [A] = [[\sigma]]\ \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)}[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}] &= (\pi_\Sigma^\sharp)^*\left([\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \ \widehat{\oplus}\ \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1]\right)\ \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)}[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}] \\ &= (\pi_\Sigma^\sharp)^*\left([\mathbb{V}(\sigma)^0 \ \widehat{\oplus}\ \pi_\Sigma^*\mathbb{V}^1]\ \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(\Sigma X)}[\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma X}]\right) \\ &= (\pi_\Sigma^\sharp)^*([\mathcal{D}_\sigma]). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.16 *Let L be a Hermitian G-line bundle over X. Consider the induced bundle $\pi_\Sigma^* L$ over ΣX, which determines the KK-theory element $[\pi_\Sigma^* L] = (C_0(\pi_\Sigma^* L), 0) \in KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X), C_0(\Sigma X))$. Then,*

$$\mu_G([L]\widehat{\otimes}[A]) = \mu_G\left([\pi_\Sigma^* L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right).$$

Proof. As we will not consider the projection from T^*X, π_Σ will be abbreviated to π. The map between the algebras of continuous functions induced by π will be denoted by $\pi^\sharp \colon C_0(X) \to C_0(\Sigma X)$ and it will also regarded as a KK-theory class $\pi^\sharp \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$. Note that $j^G(\pi^\sharp) \in KK(C^*(G; C_0(X)), C^*(G; C_0(\Sigma X)))$ represents a natural map $C^*(G; C_0(X)) \to C^*(G; C_0(\Sigma X))$ given by $f \mapsto \bar{f}(\gamma)(x, \xi) := f(\gamma)(\pi(x, \xi)) = f(\gamma)(x)$.

Let $c \in C_c(X)$ be an arbitrary cut-off function. Then $\pi^\sharp c = c \circ \pi \in C_c(\Sigma X)$ is a cut-off function for the action of G on ΣX. They determine a K-homology classes $[c] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G; C_0(X)))$ and $[c \circ \pi] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G; C_0(\Sigma X)))$ as in lemma 4.4, and they are related by the formula $[c]\widehat{\otimes} j^G(\pi^\sharp) = [c \circ \pi]$.

Using the proposition and noting that $[\pi^* L]$ is an element in $KK^G(C_0(\Sigma X), C_0(\Sigma X))$ and $(\pi^\sharp)^*[\pi^* L] = (\pi^\sharp)_*[L] \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(\Sigma X))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_G([L]\widehat{\otimes}[A]) &= \mu_G\left([L]\widehat{\otimes}(\pi^\sharp)^*[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right) \\
&= [c]\widehat{\otimes} j^G\left((\pi^\sharp)_*[L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right) \\
&= [c]\widehat{\otimes} j^G\left((\pi^\sharp)^*[\pi^* L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right) \\
&= [c]\widehat{\otimes} j^G(\pi^\sharp)\widehat{\otimes} j^G\left([\pi^* L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right) \\
&= [c \circ \pi]\widehat{\otimes} j^G\left([\pi^* L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right) \\
&= \mu_G\left([\pi^* L]\widehat{\otimes}[\mathcal{D}_\sigma]\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.4 Expression of $\mu_G([A])$

To see more specific expression of the image of μ, let us first introduce a Hilbert $C^*(G)$-module $\mathcal{E}^G$ by taking the completion of $C_c(\mathbb{V})$. which will be used to represent $\mu_G([A]) \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$.

First we define on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ the structure of a pre-Hilbert module over $C_c(G)$ using the action of G on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ given by $g[s](x) = g(s(g^{-1}x))$ for $g \in G$.

- The action of $C_c(G)$ on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ from the right is given by

$$[s \cdot b] = \int_G g[s] \cdot b(g^{-1}) \mathrm{d}g \in C_c(\mathbb{V}) \tag{4.4}$$

  for $s \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$ and $b \in C_c(G)$. Let ρ^G denote this right action.

- The scalar product valued in $C_c(G)$ is given by

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}(g) = \langle s_1, g[s_2] \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V})} \tag{4.5}$$

  for $s_i \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$.

Definition 4.17 Define $\mathcal{E}^G$ as the completion of $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ under the norm $\sqrt{\|\langle s, s \rangle\|_{C^*(G)}}$.

In order to realize $\mathcal{E}^G$ as a submodule of $C^*(G, C_0(X))$ for convenience, we define the map $i^G\colon C_c(\mathbb{V}) \to C_c(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}))$ and $q^G\colon C_c(G; L^2(\mathbb{V})) \to C_c(\mathbb{V})$ by the formula;

$$\begin{aligned} i^G[s](g)(x) &= \sqrt{c(x)}g[s](x) \\ q^G[\widehat{s}](x) &= \int_G \sqrt{c(g_1^{-1}x)}\widehat{s}(g_1^{-1})(g_1^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}g_1 \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$ and $\widehat{s} \in C_c(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}))$, where $c \in C_c(X)$ denotes a cut-off function.

i^G preserves the right G-action and scalar product, here $C_c(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}))$ is equipped with the following $C^*(G)$-valued inner product $\langle \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2 \rangle_{C^*(G;L^2(\mathbb{V}))}(g) = \int_{g_1g_2=g} \langle \widehat{s}_1(g_1), \widehat{s}_2(g_2) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V})} \mathrm{d}g_1$.

q^G is the adjoint of i^G that is, $\left\langle i^G(s), \widehat{s} \right\rangle_{C^*(G;L^2(\mathbb{V}))} = \left\langle s, q^G(\widehat{s}) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}$.

Theorem 4.18 [K, Theorem 5.6] *$\mu_G([A]) \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$ is represented by $\left(\mathcal{E}^G, \overline{A^G}\right)$, where $\overline{A^G} = q^G A^G i^G\colon \mathcal{E}^G \to \mathcal{E}^G$ and $A^G\colon C^*(G; L^2(\mathbb{V})) \to C^*(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}))$ is given by*

$$A^G[\widehat{s}](\gamma) = A[\widehat{s}(\gamma)]$$

for $\widehat{s} \in C_c(G; L^2(\mathbb{V}))$ as in definition 4.2. This operator is equal to

$$\overline{A^G} = \int_G \gamma[\sqrt{c}A\sqrt{c}]\,\mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

$\overline{A^G}$ defines a bounded operator both on $L^2(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathcal{E}^G$ [K, Proposition 5.1].

4.5 Kronecker Pairing and its Formula

Now we can define the Kronecker pairing $\langle [E], [A] \rangle_G \in \mathbb{R}$ for $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{R})$ and $[E] = (C_0(E), 0) \in KK^G(C_0(X), C_0(X))$ in Theorem A.

Definition 4.19

$$\langle [E], [A] \rangle_G \in \mathbb{C} := \mathrm{tr}_G \circ \mu_G([E] \widehat{\otimes} [A]) \in \mathbb{R},$$

where tr_G is the canonical trace on $C^*(G)$.

Remark 4.20 We will see that this definition is compatible with the case of [HS08], that is, the case when a discrete group Γ acts on X freely and X/Γ is compact. In such case, one has

$$K^0_\Gamma(C_0(X)) \simeq K^0(C^*(\Gamma; C_0(X))) \simeq K^0(C(X/\Gamma))$$

and $C(X/\Gamma)$ is unital, one also has a map

$$K^0(C(X/\Gamma)) \to K^0(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

induced by the inclusion of $\mathbb{C}$ into $C(X/\Gamma)$ as constant functions. Let A be a Γ-invariant Dirac type operator on X, and E be a Γ-Hermitian vector bundle over X. Then they defines a Dirac type operator on X/Γ denoted by A' and a Hermitian vector bundle E' over X/Γ. Let $A'_{E'}$ denote the Dirac type operator twisted by E'. The composition $K^0_\Gamma(C_0(X)) \to \mathbb{Z}$ maps $[E]\widehat{\otimes}[A]$ to the ordinary index of Dirac type operator $A'_{E'}$ on X/Γ, which will be denoted by $\mathrm{ind}(A'_{E'})$. And it is equal to the usual K-theoretical pairing $\langle [E'], [A'] \rangle$ for $[E'] \in K^0(X/\Gamma)$ and $[A'] \in K_0(X/\Gamma)$ Therefore, it is equal to the pairing between ordinary cohomology and homology $\langle \mathrm{ch}(E'), \mathrm{ch}(A') \rangle$, which appears in [HS08].

On the other hand our definition of the Kronecker pairing is defined by the composition of μ_G and tr_G

$$\mathrm{tr}_G \circ \mu_G\colon K^0_\Gamma(C_0(X)) \to K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Due to Atiyah's L^2-index theorem [At], they coincide with each other, namely, $\langle [E], [A] \rangle_\Gamma = \mathrm{ind}(A_E)$.

We need a formula of Kronecker pairing in the term of the integration of cohomology classes.

Theorem 4.21 [Wa, Proposition 6.11.] *Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold, where a second countable locally compact Hausdorff then the L^2-index of A is given by the formula*

$$\mathrm{tr}_G \mathrm{ind}_G(A) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A), \tag{4.6}$$

where tr_G is the canonical trace on $C^*(G)$, σ_A denotes the symbol of A, and unimodular group G acts properly, co-compactly and isometrically. If A is a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0, $c \in C_0(X)$ is any cut-off function.

Also we obtain a formula of the Kronecker pairing $\langle [E], [A] \rangle_G$ using the cohomology. Choose an arbitrary G-invariant connection ∇ on E and apply the theorem to the Dirac type operator A_E twisted by (E, ∇) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [E], [A] \rangle_G &= \mathrm{tr}_G \mu_G([E] \widehat{\otimes} [A]) = \mathrm{tr}_G \mathrm{ind}_G(A_E) \\ &= \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E, \nabla). \end{aligned}$$

This value is independent of the choice of the G-invariant connection on E according to the lemma 3.8.

Using this formula, we can define higher G-$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus in corollary B.

Definition 4.22 Let E be a smooth Hermitian G-vector bundle over X. Then we define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_G(X; E) = \int_X c(x)\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(TX) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is the spacial case of (4.6) when A is the Dirac operator on the canonical spinor bundle over X twisted by E.

In the case of a free action of a discrete group Γ on X, by remark 2.6, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_G(X; E)$ is equal to $\int_{X/\Gamma} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(T(X/\Gamma)) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E)$, namely, the higher $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus on X/Γ, so this definition 4.22 is one of generalizations of the higher $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus to the case of proper actions of non-discrete groups.

5 Construction of Almost Flat Bundles and their Indices

Observing that for E of the form $E = L^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L^N$, where L^k are G-line bundles, $\mu_G([E]\widehat{\otimes}[A]) = \sum_k \mu_G([L^k]\widehat{\otimes}[A])$ and $\mathrm{ch}(E) = \sum_k \mathrm{ch}(L^k)$, it is sufficient to consider the case that E is a single smooth G-line bundle L. Our strategy to prove the Theorem A is that firstly, construct a family of almost flat bundles L_t over X for $t \in [0, 1]$ using L, and calculate the index of twisted Dirac operators A_{L_t}. In order to do this, we introduce a $U(1)$-cocycle $\alpha \in C^2(G; U(1))$ coming from the G-line bundle L following [Ma99] and [Ma03]. However we will consider the central extension using α while V. Mathai employed the α-twisted actions and α-twisted group C^*-algebras.

5.1 Central Extension

We would like to construct line bundles L_t over X for $t \in [0, 1]$ whose curvature have the norm decreasing to zero. However L_t are not G-line bundles despite L is G-line bundle. We will introduce $U(1)$-cocycle $\alpha \in C^2(G; U(1))$ coming from $C^2(G; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\exp(i\theta)} C^2(G; U(1))$ and central $U(1)$-extensions G_{α^t}, and construct each of L_t as a G_{α^t}-line bundle over X.

Definition 5.1 Chose an arbitrary G-invariant connection ∇ on L and let $i\omega \in \Omega(X; i\mathbb{R})$ denote the curvature of (L, ∇). Then its first Chern class is equal to $c_1(L; \nabla) = \frac{-1}{2\pi}\omega \in \Omega(X; \mathbb{R})$. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. For any $\gamma \in G$, we will construct a $\mathbb{R}$-valued smooth function $\psi_\gamma \in C^\infty(X)$ which will be used to define a $U(1)$-cocycle $\alpha \in C^2(G; U(1))$.

- Since $[c_1(L)] = 0 \in H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$, in particular $[\omega] = 0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X; \mathbb{R})$, there exists $\eta \in \Omega^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathrm{d}\eta = \omega$.
- Since ω is G-invariant, we have $\mathrm{d}(\gamma^*\eta - \eta) = \gamma^*\omega - \omega = 0$. The assumption of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ implies that there exists $\psi_\gamma \in C^\infty(X; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\mathrm{d}\psi_\gamma = \gamma^*\eta - \eta$ for each $\gamma \in G$. We may assume for every $\gamma \in G$, $\psi_\gamma(x_0) = 0$ for a fixed base point $x_0 \in X$.

Lemma 5.2 *$\psi_e(x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$, where e denotes the identity element in G.*
Moreover for any γ_1 and $\gamma_2 \in G$,

$$\psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(x) - \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(x)$$

is independent of $x \in X$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{d}\psi_e = 0$, it follows that $\psi_e(x) = \psi_e(x_0) = 0$ for every $x \in X$. In addition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\left(\psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(x) - \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(x)\right) &= \left[\gamma_1^*\eta - \eta\right](\gamma_2 x) + \left[\gamma_2^*\eta - \eta\right](x) - \left[(\gamma_1\gamma_2)^*\eta - \eta\right](x) \\ &= \left[\gamma_2^*\gamma_1^*\eta - \gamma_2^*\eta\right](x) + \left[\gamma_2^*\eta - \eta\right](x) - \left[\gamma_2^*\gamma_1^*\eta - \eta\right](x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the second statement follows. □

Lemma 5.3 *Set*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &:= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(x) - \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(x) \\ &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then σ defines a $\mathbb{R}$-valued 2-cocycle $\sigma \in C^2(G; \mathbb{R})$. In particular $\alpha := \exp[i\sigma]$ is a $U(1)$-valued 2-cocycle $\alpha \in C^2(G; U(1))$.

Proof. We have to check

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\gamma, e) = \sigma(e, \gamma) &= 0 \qquad \text{and} \\ \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + \sigma(\gamma_1\gamma_2, \gamma_3) &= \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\gamma_3) + \sigma(\gamma_2, \gamma_3). \end{aligned}$$

The first equation follows directly from $\psi_e(x) = 0$ and $\psi_\gamma(x_0) = 0$ for every $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in G$. The second equation follows from direct calculation. Due to the previous lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2\gamma_3 x_0) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(\gamma_3 x_0) - \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(\gamma_3 x_0) &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(x_0) - \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(x_0) \\ &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + \sigma(\gamma_1\gamma_2, \gamma_3) &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0) + \psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(\gamma_3 x_0) \\ &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0) + \{\psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2\gamma_3 x_0) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(\gamma_3 x_0) - \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0)\} \\ &= \psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2\gamma_3 x_0) + \psi_{\gamma_2}(\gamma_3 x_0) \\ &= \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\gamma_3) + \sigma(\gamma_2, \gamma_3). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4 *If we choose another G-invariant connection ∇' on L, then the resulting $\alpha' \in C^2(G;U(1))$ is cohomologous to α. In particular at the $U(1)$-cohomology level, $\alpha \in C^2(G;U(1))$ is independent of the choice of G-invariant connection ∇ and η used in the definition of α.*

Proof. If we let $i\omega' \in \Omega^2(X;i\mathbb{R})$ denote the curvature of (L,∇'). Then its first Chern class $c_1(L,\nabla')$ is equal to $\frac{-1}{2\pi}\omega' \in \Omega^2(X;\mathbb{R})$. According to the lemma 3.8, there exists a G-invariant 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(X)$ satisfying $\omega' - \omega = \mathrm{d}\theta$. As in definition 5.1, since $[\omega'] \in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X;\mathbb{R})$, there exists $\eta' \in \Omega^1(X)$ such that $\mathrm{d}\eta' = \omega'$. Since $\mathrm{d}\eta' - \mathrm{d}\eta = \omega' - \omega = \mathrm{d}\theta$, there exists $h \in C^\infty(X)$ which satisfies $\mathrm{d}h = \eta' - \eta - \theta$. We may assume $h(x_0) = 0$ for the fixed base point $x_0 \in X$. Similarly, define $\psi' \in C^\infty(X)$ for each $\gamma \in G$ by $\mathrm{d}\psi'_\gamma = \gamma^*\eta' - \eta'$ and $\psi'_\gamma(x_0) = 0$. Now since $\gamma^*\theta = \theta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\psi'_\gamma - \psi_\gamma) &= \gamma^*(\eta' - \eta) - (\eta' - \eta) \\
&= \gamma^*(\eta' - \eta - \theta) - (\eta' - \eta - \theta) \\
&= \mathrm{d}(\gamma^* h - h) \\
\text{and} \qquad \psi'_\gamma(x_0) = \psi_\gamma(x_0) = h(x_0) &= 0\ , \\
\text{which imply} \qquad \psi'_\gamma - \psi_\gamma &= \gamma^* h - h - \gamma^* h(x_0)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the $U(1)$-cocycle defined using ω' is

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha'(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) &= \exp\left[i\psi'_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0)\right] \\
&= \exp\left[i\psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x_0)\right] \exp\left[i\left(\gamma_1^* h(\gamma_2 x_0) - h(\gamma_2 x_0) - \gamma_1^* h(x_0)\right)\right] \\
&= \alpha(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\delta\beta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \in C^1(G;U(1))$ is a $U(1)$-cocycle defined by $\beta(\gamma) = \exp\left[ih(\gamma x_0)\right]$ and recall that the derivative $\delta\colon C^1(G;U(1)) \to C^2(G;U(1))$ is given by the formula

$$(\delta\beta)(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) = \beta(\gamma_1)\beta(\gamma_2)\beta(\gamma_1\gamma_2)^{-1}.$$

To conclude, $\alpha' \in C^2(G;U(1))$ is cohomologous to α. □

Definition 5.5 For each $t \in [0,1]$, take a $U(1)$-cocycle $\alpha^t = \exp[it\sigma]$. Let G_{α^t} be the central $U(1)$-extension of G determined by the 2-cocycle $\alpha^t \in C^2(G;U(1))$. In other words G_{α^t} is $G\times U(1)$ as a topological measure space and equipped with the following product;

$$(\gamma_1,u_1)(\gamma_2,u_2) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2\ ,\ \alpha(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^t u_1 u_2).$$

Suppose that the Haar measure of $U(1)$ is normalized so that $\int_{U(1)} \mathrm{d}u = 1$.

Since there is a one to one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of central $U(1)$-extension of G and $H^2(G;U(1))$ when G acts on $U(1)$ trivially, G_{α^t} is determined uniquely up to isomorphism.

5.2 Almost Flat G_α-Line Bundles

Definition 5.6 For each $t \in [0,1]$ we will construct a G_{α^t}-line bundle L_t over X whose curvature is equal to $it\omega$.

- L_t is topologically equal to a trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}$.
- The connection of L_t is given by $\nabla^t = \mathrm{d} + it\eta$.

Since L_t is a line bundle, its curvature is given by $\mathrm{d}(it\eta) + (it\eta)\wedge(it\eta) = \mathrm{d}(it\eta) = it\omega$.

Lemma 5.7

(1) G_{α^t} acts on L_t given by the formula;

$$(\gamma, u)(x, z) = (\gamma x\,,\ \exp[it\psi_\gamma(x)]uz) \qquad \text{for} \quad (\gamma, u) \in G_{\alpha^t},\ x \in X,\ z \in \mathbb{C} = (L_t)_x.$$

(2) ∇^t is a G_{α^t}-invariant connection, that is, the action of G_{α^t} and the connection ∇^t on L_t commute with each other. In particular let A_{L_t} denote the twisted Dirac operator acting on the sections of $\mathbb{V}\widehat{\otimes}L_t$. Then A_{L_t} is G_{α^t}-equivariant.

Proof. This actually gives the action of G_{α^t}. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma_1, u_1)\left\{(\gamma_2, u_2)(x, z)\right\} &= (\gamma_1\gamma_2 x, \exp\left[it\psi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 x)\right]\exp\left[it\psi_{\gamma_2}(x)\right]u_1u_2z)\\ &= \left(\gamma_1\gamma_2 x, \exp\left[it\psi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(x)\right]\alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^t u_1u_2z\right)\\ &= \left\{(\gamma_1, u_1)(\gamma_2, u_2)\right\}(x, z).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\gamma, u)^{-1} = \left(\gamma^{-1}, \alpha(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})^{-t}u^{-1}\right)$ in G_{α^t}, remark that the action of G_{α^t} on $C^\infty(L_t)$ is given by the formula;

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma, u)[s](x) &= u^{-1}\alpha(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})^{-t}\exp\left[it\psi_{\gamma^{-1}}\right]\gamma[s](x)\\ &= u^{-1}\exp\left[-it\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]\gamma[s](x).\end{aligned}$$

As for the second statement, we will proof in the case of $t = 1$. The claim for general $t \in [0, 1]$ follows if we replace η and ψ by $t\eta$ and $t\psi$ respectively. Let $s \in C^\infty(L)$ be an arbitrary smooth section of L. To restate our claim, $(\gamma, u)\left[\nabla s\right] = \nabla\left((\gamma, u)[s]\right)$.

The left hand side is equal to

$$(\gamma, u)\left[(\mathrm{d} + i\eta)s\right] = u^{-1}\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]\gamma\left[(\mathrm{d} + i\eta)s\right]. \tag{5.1}$$

The right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{d} + i\eta)\left((\gamma, u)[s]\right) &= u^{-1}(\mathrm{d} + i\eta)\left(\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]\gamma[s]\right)\\ &= u^{-1}\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]\left\{\mathrm{d}(\gamma[s]) - i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1})\cdot\gamma[s] + i\eta\cdot\gamma[s]\right\}. \quad (5.2)\end{aligned}$$

After deviding by $u^{-1}\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(5.1)}{u^{-1}\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]} &= \mathrm{d}\gamma[s] + i\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)^*\eta\cdot\gamma[s]\\ &= \mathrm{d}\gamma[s] + i\left(\eta + \mathrm{d}\psi_{\gamma^{-1}}(x)\right)\cdot\gamma[s], \quad (5.3)\\ \frac{(5.2)}{u^{-1}\exp\left[-i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right]} &= \mathrm{d}(\gamma[s]) - i\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1})\cdot\gamma[s] + i\eta\cdot\gamma[s]. \quad (5.4)\end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude that

$$(5.3) - (5.4) = i\left\{\mathrm{d}\psi_{\gamma^{-1}}(x) + \mathrm{d}\psi_\gamma(\gamma^{-1}x)\right\}\gamma[s] = i\left\{\mathrm{d}\psi_e(x)\right\}\gamma[s] = 0.$$

□

5.3 Indices of Almost Flat Bundles

Now we can apply the theorem 4.21 to each A_{L_t} to obtain

Proposition 5.8

$$\mathrm{tr}_{G_{\alpha^t}}\mu_{G_{\alpha^t}}([L_t]\widehat{\otimes}[A]) = \mathrm{tr}_{G_{\alpha^t}}\mathrm{ind}_{G_{\alpha^t}}(A_{L_t}) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)\wedge\mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A)\wedge\exp\left(\frac{-t}{2\pi}\omega\right). \tag{5.5}$$

Later on we will regard it as a polynomial in t.

5.4 The Index Map μ_{G_α}

We will compare two index maps μ_G and μ_{G_α}. In particular, The main purpose of this subsection is to verify the following proposition;

Proposition 5.9 *Let A be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator on $\mathbb{V}$ over X of order 0. Consider K-homology class $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ and apply $\mu_G \colon KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \to K_0(C^*(G))$ to it. One can regard also $[A]$ as a K-homology class in $KK^{G_\alpha}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ and apply $\mu_{G_\alpha} \colon KK^{G_\alpha}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \to K_0(C^*(G_\alpha))$ to it. Then,*

$$\mu_{G_\alpha}([A]) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(G_\alpha)) \qquad \textit{if and only if} \qquad \mu_G([A]) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

It is convenient to introduce the following natural homomorphisms.

Definition 5.10 Let B be a G-algebra, in particular $B = \mathbb{C}$ or $C_0(X)$. Define

- $\lambda \colon C^*(G; B) \to C^*(G_\alpha; B)$ given by

$$\lambda[b](\gamma, u) := b(\gamma) \qquad \text{for } b \in C_c(G; B), \text{ and}$$

- $\theta \colon C^*(G_\alpha; B) \to C^*(G; B)$ is given by

$$\theta\left[\widetilde{b}\right](\gamma) := \int_{U(1)} b(\gamma, u)\, \mathrm{d}u \qquad \text{for } \widetilde{b} \in C_c(G_\alpha; B).$$

Both of the operators are bounded with respect to the C^*-norms $\|\cdot\|_{C^*(G;B)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^*(G_\alpha;B)}$, so they define the homomorphisms between $C^*(G; B)$ and $C^*(G_\alpha; B)$.

In fact, for any covariant representation (π, ρ) for (B, G) and for $b \in C_c(G)$,

$$\sigma_{\pi,\tilde{\rho}}[\lambda[b]] = \int_{G_\alpha} \pi(\lambda[b](\gamma, u))\tilde{\rho}(\gamma, u)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}u = \int_G \pi(b(\gamma))\rho'(\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \sigma_{\pi,\rho'}[b],$$

where $\rho'(\gamma)$ is a representation of G given by $\rho'(\gamma) := \int_{U(1)} \tilde{\rho}(\gamma, u)\, \mathrm{d}u$ is a representation on G.

Moreover for any covariant representation $(\pi, \tilde{\rho})$ for (B, G_α) and for $\tilde{b} \in C_c(G_\alpha)$,

$$\sigma_{\pi,\rho}\left[\theta\left[\tilde{b}\right]\right] = \int_G \pi(\theta\left[\tilde{b}\right](\gamma))\rho(\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_{G_\alpha} \pi(\tilde{b}(\gamma, u))\bar{\rho}(\gamma, u)\, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\gamma = \sigma_{\pi,\bar{\rho}}\left[\tilde{b}\right],$$

where $\bar{\rho}(\gamma, u)$ is a representation of G_α given by $\bar{\rho}(\gamma, u) := \rho(\gamma)$.

Remark 5.11 It follows immediately that $\theta \circ \lambda = \mathrm{id}$. Note that $\widetilde{b} \in C_c(G_\alpha; B)$ is constant in $(1, u) \in U(1) \subset G_\alpha$ if and only if $\lambda \circ \theta\left[\widetilde{b}\right] = \widetilde{b}$ holds.

Now we will use the expression of $\mu_{G'}([A])$ in the subsection 4.4; $\mu_{G'}([A]) = \left(\mathcal{E}^{G'}, \overline{A^{G'}}\right)$ for $G' = G$ or G_α and compare them.

Lemma 5.12 *If we regard $\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}$ as a module over $C^*(G)$ through $\lambda \colon C^*(G; B) \to C^*(G_\alpha; B)$ equipped with the norm $\theta\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}$ valued in $C^*(G)$, then it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}^G$ that is,*

$$\left(\mathcal{E}^G; \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}\right) \simeq \left(\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}; \theta\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}\right) \qquad \textit{as } C^*(G)\textit{-modules.} \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Consider the structure of Hilbert $C^*(G_\alpha)$-module (4.4) and (4.5) on $\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}$. We will let the right action of $G' = G$ or G_α on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ be denoted by $\underset{G'}{\cdot}$ when we have to distinguish them. An important observation is that the action of $U(1)$-component of G_α on $\mathbb{V}$ is trivial. Therefore the action ρ^{G_α} of $C_c(G_\alpha)$ on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ factors through the action of $C_c(G)$, that is, for $s \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$ and $\tilde{b} \in C_c(G_\alpha)$,

$$\begin{aligned} s \underset{G_\alpha}{\cdot} \tilde{b} &= \int_{G_\alpha} (\gamma, u)[s] \cdot \tilde{b}((\gamma, u)^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_G \gamma[s] \cdot \left(\int_{U(1)} \tilde{b}(\gamma, \alpha(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})^{-1} u^{-1}) \mathrm{d}u \right) \mathrm{d}\gamma \\ &= \int_G \gamma[s] \cdot \theta\left[\tilde{b}\right](\gamma^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\gamma \\ &= s \underset{G}{\cdot} \theta\left[\tilde{b}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\tilde{b}$ by $\lambda[b]$ for an arbitrary $b \in C_c(G)$, we also obtain

$$s \underset{G_\alpha}{\cdot} \lambda[b] = s \underset{G}{\cdot} b.$$

In addition the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}$ on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ is constant in $(1, u) \in U(1) \subset G_\alpha$, in the other words,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}} = \lambda\left(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta\left(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}\right) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G} \qquad \text{on } C_c(\mathbb{V}).$$

This implies the two norms $\|s\|_{\mathcal{E}^G} := \sqrt{\|\langle s, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}\|_{C^*(G)}}$ and $\|s\|_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}} := \sqrt{\|\langle s, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}\|_{C^*(G_\alpha)}}$ on $C_c(\mathbb{V})$ are equivalent because both λ and θ are bounded. In particular $\mathcal{E}^G \simeq \mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}$. ∎

Proof of the Proposition 5.9. Again, since the $U(1) \hookrightarrow G_\alpha$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{V}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A^{G_\alpha}} &= \int_{G_\alpha} (\gamma, u)[\sqrt{c} A \sqrt{c}] \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_{G_\alpha} \gamma[\sqrt{c} A \sqrt{c}] \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_G \gamma[\sqrt{c} A \sqrt{c}] \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \int_{U(1)} \mathrm{d}u \qquad = \overline{A^G}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Now assume $\mu_G = (\mathcal{E}^G, \overline{A^G}) = 0 \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$. Then, by the definition, it is homotopic to $(\{0\}, 0)$. Precisely, there exists a Kasparov module $(\mathcal{E}, T) \in \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}, IC^*(G))$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_{e_1} C^*(G) \cong \mathcal{E}^G, \quad T \otimes_{e_1} \mathrm{id} = \overline{A^G} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{E} \otimes_{e_0} C^*(G) = \{0\},$$

where $IC^*(G) = C([0,1]; C^*(G))$ and $e_t \colon IC^*(G) \to C^*(G)$ denotes the evaluation map at $t \in [0,1]$.

On the other hand, consider $\mu_{G_\alpha} = (\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}, \overline{A^{G_\alpha}}) \cong (\mathcal{E}^G, \overline{A^G})$ as an element in $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G_\alpha))$. The Hilbert $IC^*(G)$-module $\mathcal{E}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert $IC^*(G_\alpha)$-module through

$$1 \otimes \theta \colon IC^*(G) \to IC^*(G_\alpha).$$

Therefore $(\mathcal{E}, T)$, which provides the homotopy between $(\mathcal{E}^G, \overline{A^G})$ and $(\{0\}, 0)$ in $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$ also provides a homotopy between $(\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}, \overline{A^{G_\alpha}})$ and $(0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G_\alpha))$ in particular $\mu_{G_\alpha} = (\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}, \overline{A^{G_\alpha}}) = 0 \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G_\alpha))$.

As for the converse implication, which can be verified similarly, however we can prove the more specific equality;

$$\mu_G([A]). = \theta_*(\mu_{G_\alpha}([A]))$$

To see this, compare

$$\mu_G = \left(\mathcal{E}^G, \overline{A^G}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_*(\mu_{G_\alpha}([A])) = \left(\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}\otimes_\theta C^*(G), \overline{A^{G_\alpha}}\otimes 1\right).$$

It is sufficient to construct an isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}\widehat{\otimes}_\theta C^*(G) \to \mathcal{E}^G$ as $C^*(G)$-modules satisfying the equation; $\varphi\circ\left(\overline{A^{G_\alpha}}\otimes 1\right) = \overline{A^G}\circ\varphi$. Set $\varphi\colon s\otimes b \mapsto s\cdot b$. Remark that s is regarded as an element of both of $\mathcal{E}^G$ and $\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}$ through the isomorphism (5.6) based on $\mathrm{id}_{C_c(\mathbb{V})}$. Now we will have the invariance of the scalar products under φ. Recall that the scalar product on $\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}\otimes_\theta C^*(G)$ is given by

$$\langle s_1\otimes b_1,\ s_2\otimes b_2\rangle = b_1^* * \theta\left(\langle s_1,\ s_2\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}\right) * b_2 \in C^*(G),$$

where $*$ denotes the usual convolution product on $C^*(G)$. Noting that $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}} = \lambda\left(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle s_1\otimes b_1,\ s_2\otimes b_2\rangle &= b_1^* * \theta\left(\langle s_1,\ s_2\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^{G_\alpha}}\right) * b_2 \\
&= b_1^* * \langle s_1,\ s_2\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G} * b_2 \\
&= \langle s_1\cdot b_1,\ s_2\cdot b_2\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G} \\
&= \langle\varphi(s_1\otimes b_1),\ \varphi(s_2\otimes b_2)\rangle_{\mathcal{E}^G}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that φ is continuous and injective.

Using an approximating unit $\{e_m\}_{m\in\mathcal{M}}$ for $C^*(G)$, the subset of all elements of the following form is dense in $\mathcal{E}^G$.

$$\varphi(s\otimes e_m) = s\cdot e_m = q^G(i^G(s) * e_m) \qquad s\in C_c(\mathbb{V}),\quad m\in\mathcal{M}.$$

Therefore φ is an isomorphism. Compatibility with the operators is obvious since b does not involve $x\in X$ and (5.7). □

6 Construction of the Infinite Product Bundle and its Index

Definition 6.1 Let us introduce a group

$$\widetilde{G_\alpha} := \left\{ ((\gamma_1,u_1),\ (\gamma_2,u_2),\ (\gamma_3,u_3),\ \ldots) \in \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} G_{\alpha^{1/k}} \,\middle|\, \gamma_1=\gamma_2=\gamma_3=\cdots \right\}.$$

We consider the product topology on $\displaystyle\prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} G_{\alpha^{1/k}}$.

In other words $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ is a central $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ extension of G with respect to $\{\alpha^{1/k}\}_k$. $\widetilde{G_\alpha} = G\times U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a topological measure space and equipped with the following product; $(\gamma,\{u_k\})(\gamma',\{u'_k\}) = \left(\gamma\gamma',\left\{\alpha(\gamma,\gamma')^{1/k}u_ku'_k\right\}\right)$. We consider the product topology on $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

$\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ acts on X with the trivial $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$-action, namely, via the natural homomorphism $\widetilde{G_\alpha}\to G$. Notice that the fiber $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is compact and hencce this action is proper.

$\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ also acts on each $L_{1/n}$ via the natural homomorphism $\widetilde{G_\alpha}\to G_{\alpha^{1/n}}$ ignoring all the other components than the n-th component (γ,u_n).

Remark 6.2 Similar to Proposition 5.9, one may regard the G-equivariant K-homology class $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ also as an element of $KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$.

Then it follows that

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}([A]) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad \mu_G([A]) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

The proof is the same after replacing $U(1)$ by $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ because the action of the $U(1)^{\mathbb{N}}$-component is trivial.

Similarly, if one starts with $G_{\alpha^{1/n}}$-equivariant K-homology class $\left[A_{1/n}\right] \in KK^{G_{\alpha^{1/n}}}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, it follows that

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[A_{1/n}\right]\right) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad \mu_{G_{\alpha^{1/n}}}\left(\left[A_{1/n}\right]\right) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(G_{\alpha^{1/n}})).$$

Also remind that we can apply Theorem 4.21 [Wa, Proposition 6.11.] to $A_{L_{1/n}}$ regarded as $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-equivariant operator to obtain the same value when we apply it to $A_{L_{1/n}}$ regarded as $G_{\alpha^{1/n}}$-equivariant operator;

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\, \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[A_{1/n}\right]\right) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A) \wedge \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi n}\omega\right) = \mathrm{tr}_{G_{\alpha^{1/n}}}\, \mu_{G_{\alpha^{1/n}}}\left(\left[A_{1/n}\right]\right).$$

This section proceeds as follows;

- In the subsection 6.1, we construct a product bundle $\prod L_{1/k}$ and a flat bundle which is a quotient of $\prod L_{1/k}$.
- In the subsection 6.2, we calculate the index $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right)$ and $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}([W] \widehat{\otimes} [A])$ and complete the preparations for the proof of Theorem A.

6.1 Assembling Almost Flat Bundles

Definition 6.3 Let us introduce the following C^*-algebras;

- $\prod \mathbb{C}$ denotes the C^*-algebra consisting of all bounded sequences with values in $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the sup norm $\|(z_1, z_2, \cdots)\| = \sup_k |z_k|$.
- $\bigoplus \mathbb{C} \subset \prod \mathbb{C}$ denotes an ideal consisting of all sequences vanishing at infinity. This an ordinary direct sum of C^*-algebras $\bigoplus \mathbb{C} = \varinjlim_k \left(\bigoplus^k \mathbb{C}\right)$.
- Q is the quotient algebra, $Q := \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right) / \left(\bigoplus \mathbb{C}\right)$.

All of them have the trivial gradings and the trivial G_α-actions.

We will follow [Ha, Section 3] to construct "infinite product bundle $\prod L_{1/n}$" over X which has a structure of finite generated projective $\prod \mathbb{C}$-module. We will do this in more general conditions than our requirements. Let B denote a unital C^*-algebra.

Let us fix some notations about the parallel transport. The path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ consists of the points in X as objects and as morphisms, the space of thin homotopy classes of piece-wise smooth paths connecting given two points

$$\mathcal{P}_1(X)[x, y] := \{\text{thin homotopy classes of } p\colon [0,1] \to X \mid p(0) = x,\ p(1) = y\}.$$

The composition of morphisms is given by concatenation and re-parameterization of paths. A homotopy $h\colon [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$ between two paths p_0 and p_1 from x and y satisfying that

$$\begin{cases} h(j, \cdot) &= p_j & \text{for} \quad j = 0, 1, \\ h(s, 0) &= x & \\ h(s, 1) &= y & \text{for any } s \in [0,1] \end{cases}$$

is called a thin homotopy if it factors through a finite tree T;

$$[0,1]\times[0,1]\xrightarrow{h_1} T \xrightarrow{h_2} X$$

and $h_1(j,\cdot)\colon [0,1]\to T$ are piece-wise linear for $j=0,1$. For instance, re-parametrization is a thin homotopy, and any path $p\in\mathcal{P}_1(X)[x,x]$ such that $p(t)=p(1-t)$ is thin homotopic to the constant path at x.

If a Hilbert B-module $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-bundle E over X is given, The transport groupoid $\mathcal{T}(E)$ consists of the points in X as objects and as morphisms, $\mathcal{T}(E)[x,y]:=\mathrm{Iso}_B(E_x,E_y)$.

Definition 6.4 A parallel transport of E is a continuous functor $\Phi^E\colon \mathcal{P}_1(X)\to\mathcal{T}(E)$. Φ^E is called ε-close to the identity if for each $x\in X$ and contractible loop $p\in\mathcal{P}_1(X)[x,x]$, it follows that

$$\left\|\Phi^E_p-\mathrm{id}_{E_x}\right\|<\varepsilon\cdot\mathrm{area}(D)$$

for any two dimensional disk $D\subset X$ spanning p. D may be degenerated partially or completely.

Remark 6.5 Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with a connection ∇ which is compatible with the Hermitian metric. Let Φ^E be the parallel transport with respect to ∇ in the usual sense. If its curvature ω has uniformly bounded operator norm $\|\omega\|<C$, then for any loop $p\in\mathcal{P}_1(X)[x,x]$ and any two dimensional disk $D\subset X$ spanning p, it follows that $\left\|\Phi^E_p-\mathrm{id}_{E_x}\right\|<\int_D\|\omega\|<C\cdot\mathrm{area}(D)$ so it is C-closed to identity.

Proposition 6.6 *Let $\{E^k\}$ be a sequence of Hilbert B_k-module $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-bundles over X with B_k unital. Assume that each parallel transport Φ^k for E^k is ε-close to the identity uniformly, that is, ε is independent of k.*

Then there exists a finitely generated Hilbert $\prod_k B_k$-module $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-bundle V over X with Lipschitz continuous transition functions in diagonal form and so that the k-th component of this bundle is isomorphic to the original E^k.

Moreover, if the parallel transport Φ^k for each of E^k comes from the G-invariant connection ∇^k on E^k, V is equipped with a continuous G-invariant connection induced by E^k.

Proof. We will essentially follow the proof of [Ha, Proposition 3.12.]. For each $x\in X$ take a open ball $U_x\subset X$ of radius $\ll 1$ whose center is x. Assume that each U_x is geodesically convex. Due to the corollary 2.8 of the slice theorem, there exists a sub-family of finitely many open subsets $\{U_{x_i},\dots U_{x_N}\}$ such that $X=\bigcup_{g\in\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\bigcup_{i=1}^N g(U_{x_i})$.

Fix k. In order to simplify the notation, let $U_i:=U_{x_i}$ and $\Phi_{y;x}\colon E^k_y\to E^k_x$ denote the parallel transport of E^k along the minimal geodesic from y to x for x and y in the same neighborhood $g(U_i)$. Trivialize E^k via $\Phi_{y;x_i}\colon E^k_y\to E^k_{x_i}$ on each U_i. Similarly trivialize E^k on each $g(U_i)$ for $g\in\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ via $\Phi_{gy;gx_i}\colon E^k_{gy}\to E^k_{gx_i}$. Note that since parallel transport commute with the action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$, namely, $\Phi_{gy;gx_i}=g\Phi_{y;x_i}g^{-1}$.

These provide a local trivializations for E^k whose transition functions have uniformly bounded Lipschitz constants. More precisely we have to fix unitary isomorphisms $\phi_{gx_i}\colon E^k_{gx_i}\to\mathcal{E}^k$ between the fiber on gx_i and the typical fiber $\mathcal{E}^k$. Our local trivialization is $\phi_{gx_i}\Phi_{y;gx_i}\colon E^k_y\to\mathcal{E}^k$. The transition function on $g(U_i)\cap h(U_j)\neq\emptyset$ is given by

$$y\mapsto\psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(y):=\left(\phi_{hx_j}\circ\Phi_{y;hx_j}\right)\left(\phi_{gx_i}\circ\Phi_{y;gx_i}\right)^{-1}\in\mathrm{End}_{B_k}(\mathcal{E}^k).$$

Now we will estimate its Lipschitz constant. If $y, z \in g(U_i) \cap h(U_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(y) - \psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(z) \\
= \;& \left(\phi_{hx_j}\Phi_{y;hx_j}\right)\left(\phi_{gx_i}\Phi_{y;gx_i}\right)^{-1} - \left(\phi_{hx_j}\Phi_{z;hx_j}\right)\left(\phi_{gx_i}\Phi_{z;gx_i}\right)^{-1} \\
= \;& \phi_{hx_j}\left\{\left(\Phi_{y;hx_j}\right)\left(\Phi^{-1}_{y;gx_i}\right) - \left(\Phi_{z;hx_j}\Phi_{y;z}\right)\left(\Phi^{-1}_{y;z}\Phi^{-1}_{z;gx_i}\right)\right\}\phi^{-1}_{gx_i} \\
= \;& \phi_{hx_j}\left\{\left(\Phi_{y;hx_j} - \Phi_{z;hx_j}\Phi_{y;z}\right)\left(\Phi^{-1}_{y;gx_i}\right) + \left(\Phi_{z;hx_j}\Phi_{y;z}\right)\left(\Phi^{-1}_{y;gx_i} - \Phi^{-1}_{y;z}\Phi^{-1}_{z;gx_i}\right)\right\}\phi^{-1}_{gx_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ's and Φ's are isometry,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(y) - \psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(z)\right\| &\leq \left\|\Phi_{y;hx_j} - \Phi_{z;hx_j}\Phi_{y;z}\right\| + \left\|\Phi^{-1}_{y;gx_i} - \Phi^{-1}_{y;z}\Phi^{-1}_{z;gx_i}\right\| \\
&= \left\|\Phi_{y;hx_j}\Phi_{z;y}\Phi_{hx_j;z} - \mathrm{id}_{E^k_{hx_j}}\right\| + \left\|\Phi_{z;gx_i}\Phi_{y;z}\Phi_{gx_i;y} - \mathrm{id}_{E^k_{gx_i}}\right\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon\cdot(\mathrm{area}(D_1) + \mathrm{area}(D_2)). \qquad (6.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $D_1 \subset h(U_j)$ is a two dimensional disk spanning the piece-wise geodesic loops connecting hx_j, y, z, and hx_j and $D_2 \subset g(U_i)$ is a two dimensional disk spanning the piece-wise geodesic loop connecting gx_i, y, z, and gx_i.

We claim that there exists a constant C depending only on X such that

$$\mathrm{area}(D_1),\ \mathrm{area}(D_2) \leq C\cdot\mathrm{dist}(y,z). \qquad (6.2)$$

if we choose suitable disks D_1 and D_2.

We verify this using the geodesic coordinate $\exp^{-1}_{hx_j} : h(U_j) \to T_{hx_j}$ centered at $hx_j \mapsto 0$. More precisely, let p denote the minimal geodesic from $y = p(0)$ to $z = p(\mathrm{dist}(y,z))$ with unit speed. Consider

$$D_0 := \left\{(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; 0 \leq r\ ,\ 0 \leq \theta \leq \mathrm{dist}(y,z)\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

and $F\colon D_0 \to h(U_j) \subset X$ given by

$$F(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) := \exp_{hx_j}\left(r\exp^{-1}_{hx_j}(p(\theta))\right).$$

Set $D_1 := F(D_0)$. F is injective if $\exp^{-1}_{hx_j}(y)$ and $\pm\exp^{-1}_{hx_j}(z)$ are on different radial directions, in which case F is a homeomorphism onto its image, and hence $F(D_0)$ is a two dimensional disk spanning the target loop. The Lipschitz constant of F is bounded by a constant depending on the curvature on $h(U_j)$, so there exists a constant $C_{h,j}$ depending on the Riemannian curvature on $h(U_j)$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{area}(D_1) \leq C_{h,j}\cdot\mathrm{area}(D_0) \leq C_{h,j}\cdot\mathrm{dist}(y,z).$$

But actually, the constant $C_{h,j}$ can be taken independent of $h(U_j)$ due to the bounded geometry of X (Corollary 2.8). In the case of $\exp^{-1}_{hx_j}(y)$ and $\pm\exp^{-1}_{hx_j}(z)$ are on the same radial direction, D_1 is completely degenerated and $\mathrm{area}(D_1) = 0$. We can construct D_2 in the same manner so the claim (6.2) has been verified.

Therefore combining (6.1) and (6.2), we conclude that the Lipschitz constants of the transition functions of these local trivialization are less than $2C\varepsilon$, which are independent of E^k and U_i and $g \in \widetilde{G_\alpha}$, in particular, the product of them

$$\Psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)} := \left\{\psi^k_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} : g(U_i) \cap h(U_j) \to \mathrm{End}_{(\prod B_k)}\left(\prod_k \mathcal{E}^k\right)$$

is Lipschitz continuous. So we are allowed to use Ψ to define the Hilbert $\prod_k B_k$-module bundle V as required. Precisely V and be constructed as follows;

$$V := \bigsqcup_{g,i} \left(g(U_i) \times \prod_k \mathcal{E}^k \right) \Big/ \sim .$$

Here $(x, v) \in g(U_i) \times \prod_k \mathcal{E}^k$ and $(y, w) \in h(U_j) \times \prod_k \mathcal{E}^k$ are equivalent if and only if $x = y \in g(U_i) \cap h(U_j) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Psi_{g(U_i),h(U_j)}(v) = w$. By the construction of V, if $p_n \colon \prod_k B_k \to B_n$ denote the projection onto the n-th component, $V \widehat{\otimes}_{p_n} B_n$ is isomorphic to the original n-th component E^n.

In order to verify the continuity of the induced connection, let $\{\mathbf{e}^i\}$ be an orthonormal local frame on U_i for an arbitrarily fixed E^k obtained by the parallel transport along the minimal geodesic from the center $x_i \in U_i$, namely, $\mathbf{e}^i(y) = \Phi_{x_i;y}\mathbf{e}^i(x_i)$. It is sufficient to verify that $\left\|\nabla^k \mathbf{e}_i\right\| < C$. Let $v \in T_yX$ be a unit tangent vector and $p(t) := \exp_y(tv)$ be the geodesic of unit speed with direction v.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^k_v \mathbf{e}_i(y) &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\Phi_{p(t);p(0)} \mathbf{e}^i(p(t)) - \mathbf{e}^i(p(0)) \right) \\
&= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\Phi_{p(t);p(0)} \Phi_{x_i;p(t)} - \Phi_{x_i;p(0)} \right) \mathbf{e}^i(x_i), \\
\left\| \nabla^k_v \mathbf{e}_i(y) \right\| &\leq \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{|t|} \left\| \Phi_{p(t);p(0)} \Phi_{x_i;p(t)} - \Phi_{x_i;p(0)} \right\| \\
&\leq \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{|t|} \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{area}(D(t)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $D(t)$ is a 2-dimensional disk in U_i spanning the piece-wise geodesic connecting x_i, $p(0) = y$, $p(t)$ and x_i. As above, we can find a constant $C > 0$ and disks $D(t)$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{area}(D(t)) \leq C \cdot \mathrm{dist}(p(0), p(t)) = C|t|$$

for $|t| \ll 1$. Hence, we obtain

$$\left\| \nabla^k_v \mathbf{e}_i(y) \right\| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

□

Definition 6.7 Set $Q = \left(\prod B_k\right) / \left(\bigoplus B_k\right)$ and let $\pi \colon \prod_k B_k \to Q$ denote the quotient map and define

$$W := V \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Q$$

as a Hilbert Q-module bundle.

The family of parallel transport of E^k induces the parallel transport Φ^W of W which commutes with the action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$.

Proposition 6.8 *If the parallel transport of E^k is C_k-close to the identity with $C_k \searrow 0$, then W constructed above is a flat bundle. More precisely the parallel transport $\Phi^W(p) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_x, W_y)$ depends only on the ends-fixing homotopy class of $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(X)[x, y]$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for any contractive loop $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(X)[x, x]$, it satisfies $\Phi^W(p) = \mathrm{id}_{W_x}$. Fix a two dimensional disk $D \subset X$ spanning p. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that every $k \geq n_0$ satisfies that Φ^{E^k} is $\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\mathrm{area}(D)}$-close to the identity. Then,

$$\left\| \Phi^W(p) - \mathrm{id}_{W_x} \right\| = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| \Phi^{E^k}(p) - \mathrm{id} \right\| \leq \sup_{k \geq n_0} \left\| \Phi^{E^k}(p) - \mathrm{id} \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \mathrm{area}(D)} \cdot \mathrm{area}(D) \leq \varepsilon.$$

This implies $\Phi^W(p) = \mathrm{id}_{W_x}$. □

Applying the proposition 6.6 to $E^k = L_{1/k}$ with all $B_k = \mathbb{C}$ and we obtain$V = \prod L_{1/k}$ and construct a Q-bundle over X denoted by W as definition 6.7, here, $Q = \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right) \Big/ \left(\bigoplus \mathbb{C}\right)$. Since $L_{1/k}$ has the curvature $\frac{i}{k}\omega$, whose norm converges to zero as $k \to \infty$, we can apply the proposition 6.8 to see that W is flat. As a summary,

- We have constructed the infinite product bundle $\prod L_{1/k}$ as a Hilbert $\left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right)$-module bundle,
- and $W = \left(\prod L_{1/k}\right) \otimes_\pi Q$ as a Hilbert $Q = \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right) \Big/ \left(\bigoplus \mathbb{C}\right)$-module bundle.
- The actions of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ on $L_{1/n}$ induce actions on $\prod L_{1/k}$ and W.
- The $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-invariant connections on $L_{1/n}$ induce $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-invariant connection on $\prod L_{1/k}$ and W. Furthermore W is flat .

6.2 Index of the Product Bundle

Following the definition 4.5, we define the index maps with coefficients;

Definition 6.9 For C^*-algebras $B = \prod \mathbb{C}$ or Q, define the index map

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}} \colon KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X), B) \to K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes B)$$

as the composition of

- $j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}} \colon KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X), B) \to KK(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; C_0(X)),\ C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B))$ with
- $[c]\widehat{\otimes} \colon KK(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; C_0(X)),\ C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B)) \to K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B))$.

In other words,

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\text{-}) := [c]\widehat{\otimes}_{C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; C_0(X))} j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\text{-}) \quad \in K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B)).$$

Note that $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ acts on B trivially so $C^*_{\mathrm{Max}}(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B)$ and $C^*_{\mathrm{red}}(\widetilde{G_\alpha}; B)$ will be naturally identified with $C^*_{\mathrm{Max}}(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathrm{Max}} B$ and $C^*_{\mathrm{red}}(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathrm{min}} B$ respectively. Moreover B is commutative and hence nuclear, the tensor product with B is uniquely determined, so we will abbreviate $\otimes_{\mathrm{Max}} B$ and $\otimes_{\mathrm{min}} B$ as $\otimes B$.

Definition 6.10 Define $C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right)$ as a space consisting of sections $s \colon X \to \prod L_{1/k}$ vanishing at infinity. $C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right)$ is considered as a $C_0\left(X; \prod \mathbb{C}\right) \cong C_0(X) \otimes \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right)$-module equipped with the scalar product

$$\left\langle s, s' \right\rangle_{C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right)}(x) := \left\{ \left\langle s_k(x),\ s'_k(x) \right\rangle_{L_{1/k}} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0\left(X; \prod \mathbb{C}\right),$$

where s_k denotes the k-th component of s.

Similarly, define $C_0(W)$ as a space consisting of sections $s \colon X \to W$ vanishing at infinity. $C_0(W)$ is considered as a $C_0\left(X; Q\right) \cong C_0(X) \otimes Q$-module equipped with the scalar product

$$\left\langle s, s' \right\rangle_{C_0(W)}(x) := \left\{ \left\langle s_k(x),\ s'_k(x) \right\rangle_{L_{1/k}} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0\left(X; Q\right).$$

Definition 6.11 $\prod L_{1/k}$ and W define elements in KK-theory

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] = \left(C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right),\ 0\right) &\in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(C_0(X),\ C_0(X)\widehat{\otimes}\prod \mathbb{C}\right) \\ \text{and} \qquad [W] = \left(C_0(W),\ 0\right) &\in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(C_0(X),\ C_0(X)\widehat{\otimes} Q\right) \end{aligned}$$

The action of $C_0(X)$ on $C_0(\prod L_{1/k})$ and $C_0(W)$ are the point-wise multiplications.

Remark 6.12 Actually, $f\left(\mathrm{id}_{C_0(\prod L_{1/k})} - 0^2\right) \in \mathbb{L}\left(C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right)\right)$ is a $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$-equivariant $\prod \mathbb{C}$-compact operator for any $f \in C_0(X)$. To see this, it is sufficient to check it when f has a compact support. Let $\mathbf{1} := \{1, 1, \ldots\} \in \prod \mathbb{C}$ denote the identity element in $\prod \mathbb{C}$. We may regard $\prod L_{1/k}$ as a trivial bundle $X \times (\prod \mathbb{C})$ equipped with the connection $\nabla^{1/k}$ on each k-th component.

Let $s_1 \in C_0\left(\prod L_{1/k}\right)$ be a section such that $s_1(x) = \mathbf{1}$ for any $x \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$. Then, $f \cdot \mathrm{id}_{C_0(\prod L_{1/k})} = f s_1 \langle s_1, \cdot \rangle_{C_0(\prod L_{1/k})}$ is of rank 1 and hence a compact operator. The similar verification works also in the case of W.

Proposition 6.13 *Let $[A]$ be a K-homology element in $KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ determined by a Dirac operator on $\mathbb{V}$, and let W be the flat Q-module bundle constructed as above.*

Then $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([W] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right) = 0 \in K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes Q)$ if $\mu_G([A]) = 0$.

In order to prove this, we introduce an element $[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}} \in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(Q, Q)$ using the holonomy representation of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$.

Lemma 6.14 *The parallel transport of W depends only on the ends of the paths.*

Proof. Let x and y be any points in X, and consider any two paths p_1 and $p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1(X)[x, y]$ from x to y. Combining them, we have a loop $p = (p_1)^{-1} p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1(X)[x, x]$ starting and ending at $x \in X$. Now we want to verify the parallel transport $\Phi(p_1)^{-1}\Phi(p_2) = \Phi(p)$ along the loop p is the identity map id_{W_x}.

Since W is flat, the parallel transport on W depends only on the homotopy type of paths, so it provides the homomorphism $\Phi\colon \pi_1(X) \to U(W_x)$, which is called the holonomy representation of $\pi_1(X)$. The image of this homomorphism is contained in the structure group of W.

Remark that the sturucture group of $\prod L_{1/k}$ is of the diagonal form

$$\prod U(1) \subset U\left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right),$$

and hence abelian. The structure group of W, which is a quotient of this group, is also abelian.

Noting that $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1(X)$, the above holonomy representation should factor through

$$\Phi\colon \pi_1(X) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to U(W_x),$$

which must be the constant map to id_{W_x} by the assumption of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

Definition 6.15 From now on let $\Phi_{x;y}$ denote the parallel transport of W along an arbitrary path from $x \in X$ to $y \in X$. Let us fix a base point $x_0 \in X$ and let W_{x_0} be the fiber on x_0. W_{x_0} is regarded as a Hilbert Q-module. Define $[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}$ as

$$[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}} := (W_{x_0}, 0) \in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\mathbb{C}, Q).$$

The action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ on W_{x_0} is obtained by the holonomy representation of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$, which is denoted by $\rho\colon \widetilde{G_\alpha} \to \mathrm{End}_Q(W_{x_0})$ and defined by the formula;

$$\rho[g](w) = (\Phi_{x_0; g x_0})^{-1} g(w) \qquad \text{for} \quad g \in \widetilde{G_\alpha},\ w \in W_{x_0}.$$

Lemma 6.16

$$[W] \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [A] = [A] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} [W]_{\mathrm{rpn}} \in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X), Q).$$

In particular we obtain

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([W] \widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} [A]\right) = \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([A] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} [W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}\right) \in K_0(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes Q).$$

Proof. Recall that $[A] \in KK^G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$ is given by $\left(L^2(\mathbb{V}),\ A\right)$ and then,

$$[W]\widehat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}[A] = \left(C_0(W)\otimes_{C_0(X)}L^2(\mathbb{V}),\ A_W\right),$$

where A_W is the Dirac type operator A twisted by W acting on $L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V}) \simeq C_0(W)\otimes_{C_0(X)}L^2(\mathbb{V})$. The action of $C_0(X)$ on $C_0(W)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{V})$ are the point-wise multiplications.

$L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})$ is regarded as a Hilbert Q-module in the following way. First, each fiber $W_x\otimes\mathbb{V}_x$ on $x \in X$ is of a structure of Hilbert Q-module with the right Q action given by $(w\otimes v)\cdot q = (w\cdot q)\otimes v$ for $w \in W_x$, $v \in \mathbb{V}_x$ and $q \in Q$, and the scalar product given by $\langle w_1\otimes v_1,\ w_2\otimes v_2\rangle_{W_x\otimes\mathbb{V}_x} = \langle w_1, w_2\rangle_{W_x}\langle v_1, v_2\rangle_{\mathbb{V}_x} \in Q$ for $w_1, w_2 \in W_x$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{V}_x$.

Then, $L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})$ is of a structure of Hilbert Q-module with the right Q-action by the point-wise actions, and the scalar product given by $\langle u_1, u_2\rangle_{L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})} = \int_X \langle u_1(x), u_2(x)\rangle_{W_x\otimes\mathbb{V}_x}\,\mathrm{dvol}(x) \in Q$ for $u_1, u_2 \in L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})$.

On the other hand,

$$[A]\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}} = \left(L^2(\mathbb{V})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}W_{x_0},\ A\otimes 1\right).$$

The action of $C_0(X)$ is the point-wise multiplications. Note that the action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ on W_{x_0} is given by the holonomy representation ρ. It is sufficient to give an isomorphism

$$\varphi\colon L^2(\mathbb{V})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}W_{x_0} \to C_0(W)\otimes_{C_0(X)}L^2(\mathbb{V})$$

which is compatible with the action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ and the operators A_W and $A\otimes 1$. Set $\overline{w}\colon x \mapsto \Phi_{x_0;x}w \in W_x$ and define φ on a dense subspace $C_c(\mathbb{V})\odot W_{x_0}$ as

$$\varphi(s\otimes w) := \overline{w}\cdot\chi\otimes s \qquad \text{for } s \in C_c(\mathbb{V}) \text{ and } w \in W_{x_0},$$

where $\chi \in C_0(X)$ is an arbitrary compactly supported function on X with values in $[0,1]$ satisfying that $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathrm{supp}(s)$.

φ is independent of the choice of χ and hence well-defined. In fact, let $\chi' \in C_c(X)$ be another such function, and let $\rho \in C_c(X)$ be a compactly supported function on X with values in $[0,1]$ satisfying that $\rho(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\chi)\cup\mathrm{supp}(\chi')$. Then in $C_0(W)\otimes_{C_0(X)}C_c(\mathbb{V})$,

$$\overline{w}\cdot\chi\otimes s - \overline{w}\cdot\chi'\otimes s = \overline{w}\cdot\left(\chi-\chi'\right)\otimes s = \overline{w}\cdot\rho\cdot\left(\chi-\chi'\right)\otimes s = \overline{w}\cdot\rho\otimes\left(\chi-\chi'\right)s = 0.$$

In other words, $\varphi(s\otimes w) = \overline{w}\cdot\chi\otimes s$ corresponds to the section of point-wise tensor products; $x \mapsto \overline{w}(x)\otimes s(x) \in L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})$ under the identification $C_0(W)\otimes_{C_0(X)}L^2(\mathbb{V}) \cong L^2(W\otimes\mathbb{V})$.

Firstly, compatibility with the operators is verified as follows;

$$A_W\circ\varphi(s\otimes w) = A_W(\overline{w}\otimes s) = \overline{w}\otimes A(s) = \varphi\circ(A\otimes 1)(s\otimes w)$$

for $s \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$ and $w \in W_{x_0}$. This is because $\nabla^W\overline{w} = 0$ by its construction.

Compatibility with the action of $\widetilde{G_\alpha}$ is verified as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(g(s\otimes w))(x) = \Phi_{x_0;x}(\rho[g](w))\otimes g(s(g^{-1}x)) &= \Phi_{x_0;x}(\Phi_{x_0;gx_0})^{-1}g(w)\otimes g(s(g^{-1}x)) \\
&= \Phi_{gx_0;x}(g(b))\otimes g(s(g^{-1}x)), \\
g(\varphi(s\otimes w))(x) = g((\Phi_{x_0;g^{-1}x})(w)\otimes s(g^{-1}x)) &= \Phi_{gx_0;x}(g(w))\otimes g(s(g^{-1}x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us check that φ induces an isomorphism. For $s_1 \otimes w_1,\ s_2 \otimes w_2 \in C_c(\mathbb{V}) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} W_{x_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \varphi(s_1 \otimes w_1),\ \varphi(s_2 \otimes w_2) \rangle_{C_0(W) \otimes_{C_0(X)} L^2(\mathbb{V})} \\
= & \left\langle s_1,\ \langle \overline{w_1} \cdot \chi, \overline{w_2} \cdot \chi \rangle_{C_0(W)}\, s_2 \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V})} \\
= & \int_X \left\langle s_1(x),\ \langle (\Phi_{x_0;x} w_1)\chi(x), (\Phi_{x_0;x} w_2)\chi(x) \rangle_{W_x}\, s_2(x) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}_x} \mathrm{dvol}(x) \\
= & \int_X \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{W_0}\, \chi(x)^2\, \langle s_1(x), s_2(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_x}\ \mathrm{dvol}(x) \\
= & \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{W_0}\, \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V})} \\
= & \langle s_1 \otimes w_1,\ s_2 \otimes w_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{V}) \otimes W_{x_0}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi \in C_0(X)$ is a compactly supported function on X satisfying that $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathrm{supp}(s_1) \cup \mathrm{supp}(s_2)$. This implies that φ is continuous and injective.

Moreover, choose arbitrary $F \in C_c(W)$ and $s \in C_c(\mathbb{V})$. Let $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$ be a basis over $\mathbb{C}$ for W_{x_0}. Since for each $x \in X$, $\{\overline{w_1}(x), \overline{w_2}(x), \ldots\}$ provides a basis for W_x, there exist $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in C_c(X)$ such that

$$F(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{w_j}(x) f_j(x)$$

for any $x \in X$. Let $\chi \in C_c(X)$ be a function satisfying $\chi(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \mathrm{supp}(s) \cup \mathrm{supp}(F)$. Then

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j s \otimes w_j\right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{w_j} \cdot \chi \otimes f_j s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{w_j} \cdot \chi f_j \otimes s) = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{w_j} f_j\right) \cdot \chi \otimes s = F \otimes s.$$

This implies that the image of φ is dense in $C_0(W) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{V})$. Therefore φ induces an isomorphism. □

Proof of the Proposition 6.13. Due to the previous lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([W] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right) &= \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}([A] \widehat{\otimes} [W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}) \\
&= [c] \widehat{\otimes} j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([A] \widehat{\otimes} [W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}\right) \\
&= [c] \widehat{\otimes} \left(j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[A]\right) \widehat{\otimes} \left(j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}\right) \\
&= (\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[A]) \widehat{\otimes} \left(j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[W]_{\mathrm{rpn}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As in Remark 6.2, $\mu_G[A] = 0$ if and only if $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[A] = 0$, thereforet the assumption $\mu_G[A] = 0$ implies $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([W] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right) = 0$. □

Next, we consider $\prod L_{1/k}$, which is a $\prod \mathbb{C}$ -module bundle of rank 1. Consider the index maps in the same way as above,

$$\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right) \in K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right)\right).$$

Proposition 6.17

(1) Let $p_n \colon \prod \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the projection onto the n-th component and consider

$$(1 \otimes p_n)_* \colon K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right)\right) \to K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\right).$$

Then

$$(1 \otimes p_n)_* \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right) = \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([L_{1/n}] \widehat{\otimes} [A]\right).$$

(2) Let $\pi\colon \prod \mathbb{C} \to Q$ denote the quotient map and consider

$$(1\otimes\pi)_*\colon K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\otimes\left(\prod\mathbb{C}\right)\right)\to K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\otimes Q\right).$$

Then

$$(1\otimes\pi)_*\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)=\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}([Q]\widehat{\otimes}[A]).$$

Proof. As for the first part, $\left[L_{1/n}\right]=(p_n)_*\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X),C_0(X)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{C})$ by the construction of $\prod L_{1/k}$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[L_{1/n}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right) &= \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left((p_n)_*\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\\
&= [c]\widehat{\otimes}j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{p_n}\right)\\
&= [c]\widehat{\otimes}j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\widehat{\otimes}j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\mathbf{p_n})\\
&= \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\widehat{\otimes}j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\mathbf{p_n})\\
&= (1\otimes p_n)_*\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{p_n}=(\mathbb{C},\ p_n,\ 0)\in KK\left(\prod\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}\right)$. Then note that $j^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(\mathbf{p_n})=(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}),\ 1\otimes p_n,\ 0)$ is equal to the element in KK-group $KK\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\otimes\prod\mathbb{C},\ C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\right)$ which is determined by the map $1\otimes p_n\colon C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\otimes\prod\mathbb{C}\to C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})$.

Since $\pi_*\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right]=[W]\in KK^{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(C_0(X),\ C_0(X)\otimes Q)$ by the construction of W, the second part can be proved in the similar way. □

7 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let us restate the settings and the claim.

Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold with $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and let G be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff unimodular group which is acting on X properly and isometrically. Assume X is G-compact. Let $\mu_G\colon KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C})\to K_0(C^*(G))$ be the index map, and $E=L^1\oplus\ldots\oplus L^N$ be a finite direct sum of smooth Hermitian G-line bundles each of which has vanishing first Chern class; $c_1(L^k)=0\in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$. Let A be a properly supported G-invariant elliptic operator of order 0.

Theorem A *If $[A]\in KK^G(C_0(X),\mathbb{C})$ satisfies that*

$$\langle[E],[A]\rangle_G:=\mathrm{tr}_G\,\mu_G([E]\widehat{\otimes}[A])\neq 0\in\mathbb{R},$$

then $\mu_G([A])\neq 0\in K_0(C^*(G))$.

Corollary B *Let X and G be as above and additionally we assume that X is a spin manifold and the scalar curvature of X is positive. Then for any Hermitian G-vectorbundle E satisfying the conditions above, the following higher G-$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus vanishes.*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_G(X;E):=\int_X c(x)\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(TX)\wedge\mathrm{ch}(E)=0,$$

where $c\in C_c(X)$ denotes an arbitrary cut-off function.

Proof of the Theorem A. As subsection 4.3, we may assume that A is a Dirac type operator. In this case,

$$\langle [E], [A] \rangle_G = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(E).$$

Since $\mathrm{ch}(L^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus L^N) = \mathrm{ch}(L^1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathrm{ch}(L^N)$, it is sufficient to prove when E is a single Hermitian G-line bundle L. Fix a G-invariant connection and let $i\omega$ denote its curvature. Assume the converse; $\mu_G[A] = 0$. Then it implies $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}[A] = 0$ by Proposition 6.13. Firstly we have an exact sequence;

$$0 \to \bigoplus \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\iota} \prod \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \to 0,$$

where ι and π are natural inclusion and projection. Since all of $\bigoplus \mathbb{C}$, $\prod \mathbb{C}$ and Q are commutative and hence nuclear, the tensor product with them are uniquely determined and we also have the following exact sequence according to [We, Theorem T.6.26];

$$0 \to C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\bigoplus \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{1\otimes\iota} C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{1\otimes\pi} C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes Q \to 0.$$

Now we have the exact sequence of K-groups

$$K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\bigoplus \mathbb{C}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{(1\otimes\iota)_*} K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes \left(\prod \mathbb{C}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{(1\otimes\pi)_*} K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes Q\right).$$

Let us start with $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right) \in K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\prod \mathbb{C})\right)$.
Due to proposition 6.17,

$$(1 \otimes \pi)_* \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right) = \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left([W] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right) = 0.$$

It follows that there exists $\zeta \in K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\bigoplus \mathbb{C})\right)$ such that

$$(1 \otimes \iota)_*(\zeta) = \mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right).$$

Note that $C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\bigoplus \mathbb{C})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bigoplus C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) = \varinjlim_k \bigoplus^k C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})$, which consists of all $C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})$-valued sequences vanishing at infinity, by the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\bigoplus \mathbb{C}) & \to & \bigoplus C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \\ z \otimes (\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}) & \mapsto & \{a_k z\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) & \to & C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\bigoplus \mathbb{C}) \\ \{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} & \mapsto & \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z_n \otimes (\{\delta_{k,n}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}). \end{array}$$

In addition to this, since there is a natural isomorphism $K_0\left(\bigoplus C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\right) \simeq \bigoplus K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha})\right)$ [HR, 4.1.15 Proposition, 4.2.3 Remark], with the last $\bigoplus$ meaning the algebraic direct sum, we can consider the following diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\bigoplus \mathbb{C})\right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes (\prod \mathbb{C})\right) & \xrightarrow{(1\otimes\pi)_*} & K_0\left(C^*(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) \otimes Q\right) \\ {\scriptstyle \{(1\otimes p_k)_*\}}\downarrow \cong & & {\scriptstyle \{(1\otimes p_k)_*\}}\downarrow & & \\ \bigoplus K_0(\widetilde{G_\alpha}) & \xrightarrow[\text{inclusion}]{} & \prod K_0(\widetilde{G_\alpha}). & & \end{array}$$

Since $p_k = \iota p_k$, this diagram commutes. Note that both $\bigoplus$ and $\prod$ in the bottom row are in the algebraic sense. Again due to the proposition 6.17,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} &= \{(1 \otimes p_k)_*\}\left(\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[\prod L_{1/k}\right] \widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\right) \\ &= \{(1 \otimes p_k)_*\}\left((1 \otimes \iota)_*(\zeta)\right) \\ &= \{(1 \otimes p_k)_*\}(\zeta) \\ &\in \bigoplus K_0(\widetilde{G_\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that all of $\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[L_{1/n}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right) \in K_0(\widetilde{G_\alpha})$ are equal to zero except for finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then apply the trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}$ to each n-th component to obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}(p_n)_*\left(\mu_{\widetilde{G_\alpha}}\left(\left[L_{1/n}\right]\widehat{\otimes}[A]\right)\right) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)\wedge \mathrm{ch}\wedge(\sigma_A)\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi n}\omega\right).$$

Scince all but finitely many these values are zero,

$$\int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A)\wedge\exp\left(\frac{-t}{2\pi}\omega\right) = 0$$

as a polynomial in t in particular

$$\int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A)\wedge\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\omega\right) = \int_{TX} c(x)\mathrm{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\sigma_A)\wedge(\mathrm{ch}(L)) = 0.$$

This contradicts to the assumption. □

Proof of the Corollary B. Let the scalar curvature on X be denoted by Sc, which is assumed to be positive everywhere. Note that by the bounded geometry of X (corollary 2.8), it is uniformly positive, namely, $\inf_{x\in X}\mathrm{Sc}(x) > 0$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the canonical Dirac operator on the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ over X. Then we have

$$\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D} = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Sc}$$

and since $\inf_{x\in X}\mathrm{Sc}(x) > 0$, the operator $A := \dfrac{\mathcal{D}}{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{D}^2}}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{S})$. In particular $\mu_G([A]) = 0$. Then due to the theorem A, we to obtain

$$0 = \langle [E],[A]\rangle_G = \int_X c(x)\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(TX)\wedge\mathrm{ch}(E) = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_G(X;E).$$

□

Acknowledgments

The research for my doctoral thesis was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 13J01329, and supported by the Kyoto Top Global University Project (KTGU), by which Professor Gennadi Kasparov from Vanderbilt University was appointed as a Distinguished Visiting Professor in the year 2015. I would especially like to thank the support from the KTGU Project that made me possible to visit Vanderbilt University, and I heartily thank Professor Gennadi Kasparov for proposing this topic and for having discussions with me.

References

[At] M. F. Atiyah, *Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras*, Astérisque **32-3**(1976), 43-72.

[Ab] H. Abels, *A universal proper G-space*, Math. Z. **159**(1978), 143-158.

[An] S. A. Antonyan, *Orbit spaces and unions of equivariant absolute extensors*, Topology Appl. **146-147**(2005), 289-315.

[BCH] P. Baum, A. Connes and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C^*-algebras*, Contemp. Math. **167**(1994), 241-291.

[BHS] P. Baum, N. Higson, and T. Schick, *On the equivalence of geometric and analytic K-homology*, Pure Appl. Math. Quart. **3**(2007), 1-24.

[Bl] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, (1986).

[CM] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *The L^2-Index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups*, Annals of Math. **115-2**(1982), 291-330.

[CS] A. Connes and G. Skandalis, *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **20**(1984), 1139-1183.

[HS06] B. Hanke and T. Schick, *Enlargeability and index theory*, J. Differential Geom. **74**(2006), 293-320.

[HS08] B. Hanke and T. Schick, *The strong Novikov conjecture for low degree cohomology*, Geom. Dedicata. **135**(2008), 119-127.

[Ha] B. Hanke, *Positive scalar curvature, K-area and essentialness*, Global differential geometry, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics **17**(2012), 275-302.

[HR] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K-Homology*, Oxford University Press (2000).

[Hö71] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I.*, Acta Mathematica, **127.1**(1971), 79-183.

[Hö85] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III: Pseudo-differential operators.*, Springer-Verlag, (1985).

[K80] G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu.*, J. Operator theory **4.1**(1980), 133-150.

[K88] G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91**(1988), 147-201.

[K] G. Kasparov, *K-theoretic index theorems for elliptic and transversal elliptic operators*, J. K-theory, to appear.

[Ma99] V. Mathai, *K-theory of twisted group C^*-algebras and positive scalar curvature*, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, **231**(1999), 203-225.

[Ma03] V. Mathai, *The Novikov conjecture for low degree cohomology classes*, Geom. Dedicata. **99**(2003), 1-15.

[Sk] G. Skandalis, *Some remarks on Kasparov theory*, J. Functional Analysis **56-3**(1984), 337-347.

[Wa] H. Wang, *L^2-index formula for proper cocompact group actions*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **8**(2014), 393-432.

[We] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-Theory and C^*-Algebras*, Oxford University Press (1993).